BULLETIN OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 79, Number 4, July 1973

## ON A CONJECTURE OF M. KAC<sup>1</sup>

BY DANIEL W. STROOCK Communicated by I. M. Singer, January 11, 1973

**Introduction.** Consider the region  $\mathscr{G} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 \leq f^2(z), \}$  $0 \leq z \leq L$  obtained by rotating the curve  $f(z), 0 \leq x \leq L$ , about the z-axis. Denote by  $q(t, \vec{r}, \vec{r}')$  the fundamental solution of the heat equation in  $\mathscr{G}$  with zero boundary value on  $\partial \mathscr{G}$ , and let  $p(t, \vec{r}, \vec{r}') = (1/(2\pi t)^{3/2})$ .  $\exp(-|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^2/2t)$ . Express everything in terms of cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho, \theta, z)$ . The purpose of this note is to show that, for each  $m \ge 0$ ,

(1) 
$$\frac{\int_{0}^{L} dz \int_{0}^{f(z)} \rho \, d\rho \, \frac{\partial^{2m}}{\partial \theta^{2m}} q(t, (\rho, 0, z), (\rho, \theta, z)) \Big|_{\theta=0}}{\int_{0}^{L} dz \int_{0}^{f(z)} \rho \, d\rho \, \frac{\partial^{2m}}{\partial \theta^{2m}} p(t, (\rho, 0, z), (\rho, \theta, z)) \Big|_{\theta=0}} \to 1$$

as t > 0. As Kac points out in [2], this equation enables one to recapture the distribution of the function f(z) from the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, with zero boundary conditions, in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Hence, one gets a refined version of Weyl's theorem (cf. Kac [1]) by taking advantage of the cylindrical symmetry of  $\mathscr{G}$ . Indeed, Weyl's theorem results from (1) with m = 0. As will be seen, (1) can be viewed as an extended "principle of not feeling the boundary."

The "principle of not feeling the boundary" is the statement that  $q(t, \vec{r}, \vec{r})/p(t, \vec{r}, \vec{r}) \rightarrow 1$  as t > 0 for  $\vec{r} \in \mathscr{G}$ . Combining this with the maximum principle, which tells us that  $q(t, \vec{r}, \vec{r}) \leq p(t, \vec{r}, \vec{r})$ , one can easily derive

$$\int_{\mathscr{G}} q(t,\vec{r},\vec{r}) \, d\vec{r} \left/ \int_{\mathscr{G}} p(t,\vec{r},\vec{r}) \, d\vec{r} \to 1.$$

The derivation of (1) can be accomplished in the same way, only there is now an extra complication. The maximum principle can no longer be invoked to compare the  $\theta$ -derivatives of q and p. For this reason we introduce a special representation of q and p. From this representation it will be possible to conclude that

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35P15, 35J25; Secondary 60J65. <sup>1</sup>Results obtained at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, with the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Grant AF-AFOSR-71-2055.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.