## **ON REGULAR NEIGHBORHOODS OF SPHERES**

## BY LAWRENCE S. HUSCH<sup>1</sup>

## Communicated by J. Milnor, April 5, 1966

Consider the following two conjectures:

C(n): (The combinatorial Schoenflies conjecture.) A combinatorial (n-1)-sphere on a combinatorial *n*-sphere decomposes the latter into two combinatorial *n*-cells.

D(n): Let  $W^n$  be an orientable combinatorial manifold without boundary and let  $M^{n-1}$  be a closed orientable combinatorial manifold imbedded piecewise linearly in  $W^n$ . Let U be a regular neighborhood of  $M^{n-1}$  in  $W^n$ . Then there exists a piecewise linear homeomorphism  $h: M^{n-1} \times [-1; 1] \rightarrow U$  such that

$$h(x, 0) = x,$$

$$(2) h ext{ is onto.}$$

It is easily seen that D(n) implies C(n) for all  $n \neq 4$  by using the Hauptvermutung for combinatorial cells and spheres [10]. In [8], Noguchi shows that  $C(1), C(2), \dots, C(n)$  imply D(n+1). By using the fact that a compact component of the boundary of a combinatorial manifold is combinatorially collared [9], [11], it is easily shown that C(n) implies D(n+1). However it is possible to prove a weaker version of D(n+1) without the use of C(n) for the special case when W, M are spheres.

THEOREM. Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{n} (n \neq 4)$  be a combinatorial sphere embedded piecewise linearly in the combinatorial sphere  $S^{n+1}$ . Let U be a regular neighborhood of  $\sum_{n=1}^{n} in S^{n+1}$ . Then there exists a piecewise linear homeomorphism  $h: \sum_{n=1}^{n} \times [-1; 1] \rightarrow S^{n+1}$  such that  $h(\sum_{n=1}^{n} \times [-1; 1]) = U$ .

PROOF. (For definitions of terms used see [11].) Since C(i), i = 1, 2, 3, is valid [1], [6], it follows from the remarks above that the theorem is true for n < 4. Suppose n > 4.

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  is a deformation retract of U, the *i*th integral homology groups of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  and U are isomorphic for all *i*. It follows then from Alexander duality and the unicoherence of the sphere that the closure of  $S^{n+1}-U$ ,  $Cl(S^{n+1}-U)$ , is the union of two connected closed sets,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The contents of this paper form a part of the author's dissertation submitted as a partial requirement for the Ph.D degree at Florida State University under the direction of Professor James J. Andrews. Research was supported by a National Science Foundation Cooperative Graduate Fellowship.