

**SOLVABILITY OF THE FIRST COUSIN PROBLEM AND  
VANISHING OF HIGHER COHOMOLOGY GROUPS  
FOR DOMAINS WHICH ARE NOT DOMAINS  
OF HOLOMORPHY. II**

BY AVNER FRIEDMAN<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by F. Browder, September 8, 1965

This work is a continuation of [2]. In [2] we studied the cohomology groups  $H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O})$  where  $A(\subset X)$  is a closed generalized polydisc. Here we consider the general case where  $A$  is the closure of a domain of holomorphy. This general case was treated in [1] for  $q=1$ , but the present method (for  $q \geq 1$ ) is entirely different.

We adopt the definition in [4] of analytic polyhedron. By an analytic polyhedron in *general position* we mean an analytic polyhedron as defined in [3, p. 288].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be the closure of a bounded analytic polyhedron in general position and let  $X$  be any open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , containing  $A$ . Then the restriction map*

$$(1) \quad H^q(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^q(X \setminus A, \mathcal{O}) \quad (1 \leq q \leq n - 2)$$

*is bijective.*

We proceed as in [2] except that now we take  $G = B \setminus A$  where  $B = \{z \in D; f_j(z) \in \Delta'_j \text{ for } j=1, \dots, N\}$  where  $A$  is defined by  $A = \{z \in D; f_j(z) \in \Delta_j \text{ for } j=1, \dots, N\}$  where  $f_j$  are holomorphic in  $D$ ,  $\Delta'_j$  is some open neighborhood of  $\bar{\Delta}_j$ , and  $\bar{B} \subset D$ . (The argument in [2] can be simplified by dropping out the sets  $U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_q}$  which occur in the covering  $X \setminus A$ .) All we need to prove is the following lemma.

**LEMMA.**  $H^p(G, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$ .

**PROOF.** For simplicity we take  $\Delta_j$  to be the unit disc and  $\Delta'_j$  to be a disc with radius  $1 + \epsilon$ , homothetic to  $\Delta_j$ . Clearly  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$  where  $U_i$  is defined as  $B$  except for the additional condition  $|f_i(z)| > 1$ . Thus, each  $U_i$  is also an analytic polyhedron. We next proceed analogously to [6, p. 349] and represent  $f_{i_0 \dots i_p}$  in  $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^N U_i$  as  $\sum C_M(f_{i_0 \dots i_p})$  where  $M = \{M', M''\}$  is a set of indices  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  such that the integration in  $C_M(f)$  is taken over  $|f_{j_1}| = \gamma_1, \dots, |f_{j_n}| = \gamma_n$  where  $\gamma_h = 1$  if  $j_h \in M''$  and  $\gamma_h = 1 + \epsilon$  if  $j_h \in M'$ ; the above integral representation is that given by the Cauchy-Weil formula [3],

<sup>1</sup> This work was partially supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation and by Nasa Grant NGR 14-007-021.