

# THE RECURSIVE EQUIVALENCE TYPE OF A CLASS OF SETS<sup>1</sup>

BY J. C. E. DEKKER

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, February 28, 1964

1. **Introduction.** Let us consider non-negative integers (*numbers*), collections of numbers (*sets*) and collections of sets (*classes*). The letters  $\epsilon$  and  $o$  stand for the set of all numbers and the empty set of numbers respectively. We write  $\subset$  for inclusion, proper or improper. A mapping from a subset of  $\epsilon$  into  $\epsilon$  is called a *function*; if  $f$  is a function, we denote its domain and its range by  $\delta f$  and  $pf$  respectively. Let a class of mutually disjoint nonempty sets be called an *md-class*; such a class is therefore countable, i.e., finite or denumerable. We recall that the *recursive equivalence type* (abbreviated: RET) of a set  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $\text{Req}(\alpha)$ , is defined [1, p. 69] as the class of all sets which are recursively equivalent to  $\alpha$ . We wish to consider the problem: "*How can we define the RET of an md-class in a natural manner?*" Throughout this note  $S$  stands for an md-class and  $\sigma$  for the union of all sets in  $S$ ; for every  $x \in \sigma$  we denote the unique set  $\alpha$  such that  $x \in \alpha \in S$  by  $\alpha_x$ .

DEFINITIONS. A set  $\gamma$  is a *choice set* of  $S$ , if

- (1)  $\gamma \subset \sigma$ ,
- (2)  $\gamma$  has exactly one element in common with each set in  $S$ .

The set  $\gamma$  is a *good choice set* of  $S$  (abbreviated: gc-set), if it also satisfies

- (3) there exists a partial recursive function  $p(x)$  such that  $\sigma \subset \delta p$  and  $(\forall x)[x \in \sigma \Rightarrow p(x) \in \gamma \cdot \alpha_x]$ .

Consider the special case that the md-class  $S$  is a finite class of finite sets. Then

- (a) every choice set of  $S$  is a good choice set,
- (b) every two choice sets of  $S$  are recursively equivalent,
- (c) every two good choice sets of  $S$  are recursively equivalent.

If the md-class  $S$  is infinite, (a) and (b) need no longer be true. For let  $S$  contain infinitely many sets of cardinality  $\geq 2$ , e.g.,  $S = ((0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5), \dots)$ . Then  $S$  has  $c$  choice sets. Every good choice set of  $S$  has the form  $p(\sigma)$  for some partial recursive function  $p(x)$ , hence  $S$  has at most  $\aleph_0$  good choice sets and (a) is false. Every nonzero RET contains exactly  $\aleph_0$  sets; the  $c$  choice sets of  $S$  can therefore not all be recursively equivalent and (b) is false. On the

---

<sup>1</sup> This paper was written while the author was supported by a grant from the Rutgers Research Council.