

## A NOTE ON HILBERT'S NULLSTELLENSATZ

RICHARD BRAUER

In a recent paper, O. Zariski<sup>1</sup> has given a very simple proof of Hilbert's "Nullstellensatz." We give here another proof which while slightly longer is still more elementary.

Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field. We consider a system of conditions

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0, & \quad f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0, \\ & \quad \dots, f_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0; \\ & \quad g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r$ , and  $g$  are polynomials in  $n$  indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with coefficients in  $K$ . The theorem states that *if the conditions (1) cannot be satisfied by any values  $x_i$  of  $K$ ,<sup>2</sup> a suitable power of  $g$  belongs to the ideal  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ .*<sup>3</sup>

PROOF. Let  $k$  be the number of  $x_j$  which actually appear in  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r$  and let  $x_i$  be the  $x_j$  of this kind with the smallest subscript. Denote by  $l$  the number of  $f_p$  in which  $x_i$  actually appears. Let  $m$  be the smallest positive value which occurs as degree in  $x_i$  of one of the  $f_p$ .<sup>4</sup> Now define a partial order for the different systems (1) using a lexicographical arrangement. If (1\*) is a second system of the same type as (1) and if  $k^*, l^*$ , and  $m^*$  have the corresponding significance, we shall say that (1\*) is *lower* than (1) if either  $k^* < k$ , or  $k^* = k$  and  $l^* < l$ , or  $k^* = k$ ,  $l^* = l$ , and  $m^* < m$ .

Suppose now that Hilbert's theorem is false. Then there exist systems (1) which are not satisfied by any values  $x_j$  in  $K$ , and for which no power of  $g$  lies in  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ . Choose such a system (1) taking it as low as possible. Then for all systems (1\*) lower than (1) the theorem will hold.

If  $k, l, m$  have the same significance as above, one of the  $f_p$ , say

Received by the editors November 1, 1947.

<sup>1</sup> Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1947) pp. 362-368.

<sup>2</sup> If we wish to formulate the theorem for arbitrary fields  $K$  as it is done in Zariski's paper, we have to consider a system of values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  belonging to extension fields of finite degree over  $K$ . If no such system satisfies the conditions (1), the same conclusion can be drawn. The same proof can be used.

<sup>3</sup> We do not use anything from the theory of ideals except the notation  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$  for the set of all polynomials of the form  $P_1f_1 + P_2f_2 + \dots + P_rf_r$ ,  $P_j \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ , and facts which are immediate consequences.

<sup>4</sup> The numbers  $k, l, m$  do not depend on  $g$ .