A NOTE ON CONVERGENCE IN LENGTH

MIRIAM C. AYER AND TIBOR RADÓ

1. Introduction. Let *I* be a closed linear interval $a_0 \le t \le b_0$. Let $\mathbf{r}(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t)), t \in I$, represent a vector function whose three components x(t), y(t), z(t) are of bounded variation and continuous on *I*. This vector function determines in Euclidean 3-space a curve x = x(t), y = y(t), z = z(t) whose length we denote by L(z). By convergence in length of a sequence of such vector functions $\mathbf{r}_n(t) = (x_n(t), y_n(t), z_n(t)), n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, is meant that $x_n(t), y_n(t), z_n(t)$ converge uniformly on *I* to $x_0(t), y_0(t), z_0(t)$ respectively and that $L(\mathbf{r}_n)$ converges to $L(z_0)$. We denote by V(f) the total variation on *I* of a scalar function f(t) which is continuous and of bounded variation on *I*. By convergence in variation of a sequence $f_n(t), n = 0, 1, \cdots$, is meant that $f_n(t)$ is continuous and of bounded variation on *I* for $n = 0, 1, \cdots$, that $f_n(t)$ converges uniformly on *I* to $f_0(t)$, and that $V(f_n) \rightarrow V(f_0)$. These concepts are due to Adams, Clarkson, and Lewy [1, 2].¹

We are concerned here with the problem of determining conditions under which convergence in length holds. Uniform convergence on Iof the components $x_n(t)$, $y_n(t)$, $z_n(t)$ to $x_0(t)$, $y_0(t)$, $z_0(t)$ respectively implies only that $\lim \inf L(\mathfrak{x}_n) \geq L(\mathfrak{x}_0)$. It is also well known (see [2, 4, 5]) that convergence in length of such a sequence r_n implies convergence in variation of each of the three sequences of components-and, indeed, convergence in variation of any sequence of scalar functions obtained by projecting the curves $\mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{x}_n(t), t \in I$, $n=0, 1, \cdots$, on any line whatever. As a consequence of this we see that convergence in length of the sequence $r_n(t)$ implies convergence in variation of the sequence $c_1x_n(t) + c_2y_n(t) + c_3z_n(t)$ for arbitrary choice of the constants c_1 , c_2 , c_3 . Convergence in variation of each of the three sequences of components is not sufficient to ensure convergence in length of the sequence of vectors (see [2]). In connection with the work of A. P. Morse [4] there arose the question as to whether convergence in length is implied by convergence in variation of every linear combination of the components. This has already been proved by Morse |4| for the case where $r_n(t)$ is of the special form $(t, y_n(t), 0), n = 0, 1, \cdots$. In this note we generalize Morse's result to the parametric case. The proof is based on a generalization,

Presented to the Society, September 4, 1947; received by the editors August 13, 1947.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.