

## A GENERALIZATION OF OSTROWSKI'S THEOREM ON MATRIC IDENTITIES<sup>1</sup>

NEAL H. MCCOY

The purpose of this note is to generalize a recent theorem due to Ostrowski<sup>2</sup> which is itself a generalization of a theorem proved by Phillips in 1919.<sup>3</sup> We shall first indicate the nature of Ostrowski's result.

Let  $A_1 = I, A_2, \dots, A_m$  be square matrices of order  $n$ ,  $I$  being the unit matrix, and let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be numerical parameters. Denote by  $F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  the determinant of the matrix

$$(1) \quad x_1 A_1 + x_2 A_2 + \dots + x_m A_m.$$

Let  $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be the greatest common divisor of the  $n^2$  minors of order  $n-1$  of the matrix (1), and set  $F/\Phi = F^*(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . We may now state the theorem of Ostrowski in the following form:<sup>4</sup>

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  are matrices of order  $n$ , commutative with each other and satisfying the equation*

$$(2) \quad A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2 + \dots + A_m B_m = 0,$$

*then*

$$F^*(B_1, \dots, B_m) = 0.$$

*Further, if  $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is any polynomial with the property that  $\Psi(B_1, \dots, B_m) = 0$  for every set of commutative matrices satisfying (2), then  $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is divisible by  $F^*(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .*

In this theorem it is tacitly assumed that the elements of the matrices as well as the coefficients of the polynomials are real or complex numbers. In Theorem 3 below we find an extension of the first part of Theorem 1, valid if the elements and coefficients are in an arbitrary commutative ring  $R$  with unit element 1. To generalize the second part of the theorem, we find it necessary to make an additional restriction on  $R$ , namely, that there exists no nonzero polynomial  $\phi(\lambda)$ ,

<sup>1</sup> Presented to the Society, September 8, 1939.

<sup>2</sup> A. Ostrowski, *On a theorem concerning identical relations between matrices*, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, vol. 9 (1938), pp. 241-245.

<sup>3</sup> H. B. Phillips, *Functions of matrices*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 41 (1919), pp. 266-278.

<sup>4</sup> The assumption that  $A_1 = I$  is not strictly necessary but assures us that  $F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  does not vanish identically. For the generalization below, we wish to have  $A_1 = I$  and so we state the theorem at once in this form.