

## DISTANCE FUNCTIONS AND THE METRIZATION PROBLEM\*

BY A. H. FRINK

1. *Introduction.* The metrization problem<sup>†</sup> is concerned with conditions under which a topological space is metrizable, that is, is homeomorphic to a metric space. A space is metric if to every two points  $a$  and  $b$ , a non-negative real number  $ab$  is assigned satisfying the well known conditions:

- I.  $ab = 0$  if and only if  $a = b$ ;
- II.  $ab = ba$ , (symmetry);
- III.  $ac \leq ab + bc$ , (triangle property).

A metrization theorem is usually proved by actually introducing such a distance function into the space. However, it is often easier to introduce first into a topological space a distance function satisfying the following conditions IV or V instead of III:

IV. If  $ab < \epsilon$  and  $cb < \epsilon$ , then  $ac < 2\epsilon$  (generalized triangle property);

V. For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\phi(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $ab < \phi(\epsilon)$  and  $cb < \phi(\epsilon)$ , then  $ac < \epsilon$  (uniformly regular).

Condition V reduces to IV if  $\phi(\epsilon) = \epsilon/2$ . Chittenden<sup>‡</sup> has shown that a space with a distance function satisfying I, II, and V is metrizable. Chittenden's proof is somewhat long and complicated. Furthermore, while the existence of a distance function satisfying III is proved, it is not defined directly in terms of the original distance function satisfying V. Alexandroff and Urysohn<sup>§</sup> make use of Chittenden's theorem introducing a metric satisfying IV. Niemytski<sup>||</sup> and W. A. Wilson<sup>¶</sup> make use of Alexandroff and Urysohn's result.

Without relying on Chittenden's theorem, the present paper gives a simple, direct proof that a topological space with a distance function satisfying I, II, IV is metrizable. The method

\* Presented to the Society, September 1, 1936.

† See Chittenden, this Bulletin, vol. 33 (1927), pp. 13-34.

‡ Transactions of this Society, vol. 18 (1917), p. 161.

§ Comptes Rendus, vol. 177 (1923), p. 1274.

|| Transactions of this Society, vol. 29 (1927), p. 507.

¶ American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 53 (1931), p. 361.