## PRODUCTS OF METHODS OF SUMMABILITY\*

## BY R. P. AGNEW

1. Introduction. Let the transforms

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k,$$

be two regular† methods of summability. Then the A transform  $\{\sigma_n\}$  of the B transform  $\{\tau_n\}$  of a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is (if it exists) given by

(1) 
$$\sigma_n = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{np} \tau_p = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{np} b_{pk} s_k.$$

If  $\{s_n\}$ , bounded or not, is summable B to L so that  $\tau_n \rightarrow L$ , then regularity of A implies that  $\{\sigma_n\}$  exists and  $\sigma_n \rightarrow L$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded, then the last series in (1) converges absolutely (as a double series) and we can reverse the order of summation to obtain

(2) 
$$\sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{np} b_{pk} \right\} s_k.$$

The matrix  $||c_{nk}|| = ||\sum a_{np}b_{pk}||$  of (2) is the ordinary matrix product  $||a_{nk}|| ||b_{nk}||$  and the transformation

$$AB: \qquad \omega_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{nk} s_k$$

is denoted by AB as indicated. We shall show that for regular infinite matrices A, B, it may not be true that  $AB \supset B$ ; and that regularity of A, B, D and equivalence of A and D do not necessarily imply equivalence of AB and DB. We give also related results and applications to kernel transformations.

<sup>\*</sup> Presented to the Society, April 10, 1936.

<sup>†</sup> The terminology and facts relating to summability which we use are to be found in the expository paper, Report on topics in the theory of divergent series, by W. A. Hurwitz, this Bulletin, vol. 28 (1922), pp. 17-36.