

through the center of gravity and the vertices and so is a sphere.

The statement of our main theorem can be given in more general form but our statement is chosen on account of its intuitive simplicity. The set  $R$  we may take as merely closed and bounded;  $S$  may be the frontier of a bounded domain,  $D$ , which contains  $R$ . Then the conclusion remains the same as we have stated it in the simpler case.

THE RICE INSTITUTE

---

## A DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR CLOSED SETS\*

BY G. T. WHYBURN

Let  $P$  be any local<sup>†</sup> topological property of a closed set such that if  $K$  is any compact closed set lying in a metric space, then the set of all non- $P$ -points of  $K$  is either vacuous or such that its closure is of dimension  $>0$ . The following are examples of such properties: (i) local connectivity, (ii) regularity (Menger-Urysohn sense), (iii) rationality, (iv) being of dimension  $<n$ , (v) belonging to no continuum of convergence, (vi) belonging to no continuum of condensation. In fact, it will be noted that in each of these cases, every non- $P$ -point of a compact set  $K$  lies in a non-degenerate continuum of non- $P$ -points of  $K$ . We proceed to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *If  $N$  denotes the set of all non- $P$ -points of a compact closed set  $K$  in a metric space and if  $K$  is decomposed upper semi-continuously<sup>‡</sup> into the components of  $\bar{N}$  and the points of  $K - \bar{N}$ , then every point of the hyperspace  $H$  is a  $P$ -point of  $H$ .*

---

\* Presented to the Society, October 27, 1934.

† For the purposes of the present paper we shall understand by a local property of a set  $K$  a point property  $P$  such that if some neighborhood of a point  $x$  in  $K$  has property  $P$  at  $x$ , then  $K$  has property  $P$  at  $x$ ; and conversely, if  $K$  has property  $P$  at  $x$ , then any neighborhood of  $x$  in  $K$  also has property  $P$  at  $x$ . A point  $x$  of  $K$  will be called a  $P$ -point or a non- $P$ -point of  $K$  according as  $K$  does or does not have property  $P$  at  $x$ .

‡ For the notions relating to upper semi-continuous decompositions and for a proof that our particular decomposition is upper semi-continuous, the reader is referred to R. L. Moore, *Foundations of Point Set Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, 1932, Chapter 5.