

(15), (16) between operations in the I -ring, and in IF , and the correspondence between IF and a ring of an abstract field, the theorem is proved.

The element $X(x) = \sum \xi(n)x^n$ of the I -ring is now defined to be *regular* or *irregular* according as $X'(x) = \sum \xi'(n)x^n$, where $\xi\xi' = \eta$, is or is not in the I -ring.

Let $A(x) = \sum \alpha(n)x^n$ be any element of the I -ring, and $B(x) = \sum \beta(n)x^n$ any regular element of the I -ring. Write $B'(x) = \sum \beta'(n)x^n$, where $\beta\beta' = \eta$. Then the I -quotient $A(x)/B(x)$ of $A(x)$ by $B(x)$ is defined by

$$(19) \quad A(x)/B(x) = A(x)B'(x);$$

$B'(x)$ is called the I -reciprocal of $B(x)$, and we write $B'(x) = U(x)/B(x)$. Combining (18), (19), we have the following theorem.

(20) THEOREM. *The set of all elements of the I -ring is an irregular field, say the I -field, in which division is as in (19) and the remaining fundamental operations as in (18); the irregular elements of the I -field are those of the I -ring.*

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

ON TRI-RHAMPHOIDAL AND BI-OSCNODAL QUINTIC CURVES

BY HAROLD HILTON

In a recent paper,* T. R. Hollcroft says "For example, a quintic may have three rhamphoid cusps or two tacnode-cusps."

Now it is true that there is just one projectively distinct quintic with three rhamphoid cusps (or two, if we confine ourselves to real projections), namely

$$\begin{aligned} x:y:z &= t^2(t - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5}) : t^2(t - 1)^2(t - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5}) \\ &: (t - 1)^2(t + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5}). \end{aligned}$$

* This Bulletin, vol. 35 (1929), p. 847.