## D. H. LEHMER

## A FURTHER NOTE ON THE CONVERSE OF FERMAT'S THEOREM

## BY D. H. LEHMER

In a previous paper\* the writer had discussed the converse of Fermat's theorem as a means of establishing the primality or non-primality of a large integer. Use was made chiefly of the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. If  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  for x = N-1 and if  $a^x \equiv r \neq 1$ for x = (N-1)/p and if r-1 is prime to N, then all the factors of N belong to the form  $np^{\alpha}+1$  where  $\alpha$  is the highest power of the prime p contained in N-1.

It is the purpose of this note to give a more general theorem in which the third part of the hypothesis of Theorem 3 is removed.

THEOREM 4. If  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  for x = N-1 and  $a^x \equiv r \neq 1$ for x = (N-1)/p, then all the factors of  $N/\delta$  are of the form  $np^{\alpha}+1$ , where  $\alpha$  is the highest power of the prime p contained in N-1 and where  $\delta$  is the G.C.D. of r-1 and N.

Let k be a prime factor of  $N/\delta$  and let  $\omega$  be the exponent to which a belongs modulo k. Then  $\omega$  divides N-1 and k-1but not m = (N-1)/p; for if  $\omega$  divided m we would have  $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$  so that r-1 would divide by k. But this is impossible, since k divides  $N/\delta$  which is prime to r-1. From here on, the proof is the same as in Theorem 3 with the result that  $k = np^{\alpha} + 1$ .

Ordinarily, we have  $\delta = 1$  so that the two theorems become identical. An example in which this is not the case is the following: Let N=16,046,641.  $N-1=2^4\times 3^3\times 5\times 17\times 19$   $\times 23$ . It will be found that

<sup>\*</sup> This Bulletin, vol. 33 (1927), pp. 327-340.