

number of conditions in order that f_{pm} may be an m th power is $\binom{m+p-1}{m} - p$.

Each one of the Hessians $H\phi_j$, K_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p-2$) is of order $2m-4$ in the variables which it contains, and so the number of vanishing coefficients in each is $2m-3$. Hence these give $(2m-3)(p-1)$ conditions in addition to the $\binom{m+p-1}{m} - m(p-1) - 1$ assumed ones. But of the $2m-3$ conditions obtained by equating to zero the coefficients of a binary Hessian covariant only $m-1$ are independent, as the m coefficients of the form can all be expressed in terms of a single quantity when the Hessian vanishes. Hence we have as a total number of conditions given by the original factorability conditions of f_{pm} and the Hessians

$$\binom{m+p-1}{m} - m(p-1) - 1 + (m-1)(p-1) = \binom{m+p-1}{m} - p,$$

which is thus the minimum number required. Hence the relations derived in § 2 furnish a minimum set.

In the same way it may be shown that (6), (7), (8), (9) are all minimum sets.

THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA,
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

THE GENERAL TERM OF A RECURRING SERIES.

BY PROFESSOR ARTHUR RANUM.

(Read before the San Francisco Section of the American Mathematical Society, September 26, 1908.)

1. The principal theorem of this note expresses the general term of a recurring series rationally in terms of the first few terms and the constants of the scale of relation. Although I derived it in 1908, I have only recently learned that practically the same theorem was published by D'Ocagne in 1894 (*Journal de L'Ecole Polytechnique*, volume 64, pages 151-224) and by Netto in 1895 (*Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik*, volume 6, pages 285-290). Nevertheless it may be worth while to publish my own work for three reasons: first, because my proof is simpler than those of D'Ocagne and Netto; second, because I have stated the result in a more explicit form than that of either of these authors*; third, because I have applied

* D'Ocagne gives an explicit statement of the theorem (p. 163) for the special case in which the series is a "suite fondamentale," but not for the general case.