

That $V(x, y)$ thus defined is harmonic follows at once from (3) since $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s}$ is easily seen, either by direct computation or from its value :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} [\log \rho_1 - \log \rho]$$

to be a harmonic function of (x, y) .

For the proof of the second part of the theorem formula (2) is particularly adapted. We have here to prove that if (x, y) approaches a point P on the circumference $V(x, y)$ approaches as its limit the value of V_c at P . The idea upon which this proof rests is that when (x, y) is near to P a small arc including P corresponds to a large range of values of ψ and, therefore, when we take the arithmetic mean as indicated in (2) the value of V_c at P will predominate.* The exact proof based upon the idea just stated merely requires the writing down of a few inequalities.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MASS.

ON THE POLYNOMIALS OF STIELTJES.

BY PROFESSOR E. B. VAN VLECK.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society at the Meeting of April 30, 1898.)

By a Stieltjes polynomial will here be understood any polynomial satisfying a regular linear differential equation of the second order

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{x - e_1} + \dots + \frac{1 - \lambda_r}{x - e_r} \right) \frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{\varphi(x) = A_0 x^{r-2} + A_1 x^{r-3} + \dots + A_{r-2}}{(x - e_1) \dots (x - e_r)} y = 0 \quad (\text{I})$$

in which the singular points e_1, \dots, e_r, ∞ are real and in which also r exponent-differences $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are (algebraically) less than unity. We shall here for the most part confine our

* It will be seen that this idea is similar to that suggested by Schwarz. (Ges. Werke, vol. 2, p. 360. See also Klein-Fricke: Modulfunktionen, vol. 1, p. 512.) We avoid, however, the artificiality of Schwarz's method.