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The purpose of this note is to report two misstatements in [1] which, luckily, has affected no other result in the literature.

Proposition 2.8 in [ $\mathbf{1}$ ] claims that the map soul is a homeomorphism, but only establishes its continuity, where the topology is $C^{k}$ the domain and $C^{k_{0}}$ on the co-domain with $k_{0}=\max \{0, k-1\}$. The inverse of soul is continuous if and only if $k=k_{0}$, i.e., $k$ is zero or infinity. The other results in $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$ are unaffected since they assume $k=\infty$. A correction for $k \geq 2$ can be found in [8, Corollary 2.2].

In [1, Proposition 4.4] we inadvertently omitted an assumption, which was satisfied in all applications of the proposition in $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$. To describe the omission let $\xi, \eta$ be smooth vector bundles over closed $n$-manifolds $B_{\xi}, B_{\eta}$ sharing the same total space, and consider the map $f_{\xi, \eta}: B_{\xi} \rightarrow$ $B_{\eta}$ obtained by composing the zero section of $\xi$ with the projection of $\eta$. Proposition 4.4 claims that if $f_{\xi, \eta}$ pulls $\eta$ back to $\xi$, then $f_{\xi, \eta}$ has trivial normal invariant. This was used in $[\mathbf{1 , 2}]$ only when $\xi$ has 2 -dimensional fibers, and under this assumption the claim is true. Furthermore, in this case the assumption that $f_{\xi, \eta}$ pulls $\eta$ back to $\xi$ can be dropped, namely, we shall prove below that if $\xi$ has 2 -dimensional fibers, then $f_{\xi, \eta}$ has trivial normal invariant, and $f_{\xi, \eta}$ pulls $\eta$ to $\xi$. Note that either conclusion implies that $f_{\xi, \eta}$ is tangential, i.e., it preserves the stable tangent bundle.

A counterexample to the original statement of Proposition 4.4 is given by any pair of closed smooth simply-connected manifolds $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of dimension $\geq 5$ that are tangentially homotopy equivalent and nonhomeomorphic. In this case $B_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}, B_{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$ are diffeomorphic for every sufficiently large $k$, and any homotopy equivalence of $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ clearly preserves the trivial normal bundles. If the homotopy equivalence had trivial normal invariant, then $B_{1}, B_{2}$ would be homeomorphic, see [3, Corollary II.3.8]. In fact, there even exist $B_{1}, B_{2}$ as above with metrics of nonnegative curvature which was the setting of [1]; such examples can be found in [5, p. 114], [4, Proposition 5.6], and [6].

