## AN INFINITE SET OF EXOTIC R<sup>4</sup>'S

## ROBERT E. GOMPF

## Introduction

In 1982, Michael Freedman startled the topological community by pointing out the existence of an "exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ", a smooth manifold homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , but not diffeomorphic to it. This result follows easily from Donaldson's Theorem [2] on the nonexistence of certain smooth 4-manifolds, together with Freedman's powerful techniques [3] for analyzing 4-manifolds in the topological category. This exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$  was shocking to topologists, because in dimensions  $n \neq 4$ , it is a fundamental result of smoothing theory that there are no exotic  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 's. (Since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is contractible, there is no place for any bundle-theoretic obstruction to live.) Thus, this exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$  implies a catastrophic failure in dimension 4 of the basic philosophy of smoothing theory, as well as other high-dimensional techniques.

Freedman's discovery naturally raised questions about the set  $\mathscr{R}$  of all oriented diffeomorphism types homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . The most basic problem has been to determine the cardinality of  $\mathscr{R}$ . Soon after Freedman's result, the author showed [5] that  $\mathscr{R}$  has at least four elements. More recently, Freedman and Taylor [4] have found a fifth element, a "universal"  $\mathbb{R}^4$  in which all others must embed. In the present paper, we exploit a technique of Freedman and Taylor to prove that  $\mathscr{R}$  is (at least countably) infinite.

Our main result, Theorem 2.3, asserts the existence of a doubly indexed family  $\{R_{m,n}|m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $R_{m,n}$  has an orientation-preserving embedding in  $R_{m',n'}$  if and only if  $m \leq m'$  and  $n \leq n'$ . In particular no two members of this family are related by an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism. We actually show that when m > m' or n > n' there is a compact subset of  $R_{m,n}$  which cannot embed in  $R_{m',n'}$ .

We use these compact subsets to prove the required nonembedding property which distinguishes the  $R_{m,n}$ 's. Our key Lemma 1.2 gives a method for finding such compact sets which do not embed in a preassigned  $R \in \mathcal{R}$ . This is where

Received May 1, 1985. Supported by a National Science Foundation postdoctoral fellowship.