## Vanishing of Certain 1-form Attached to a Configuration

## Kazuhiko AOMOTO

Nagoya University
(Communicated by T. Saito)

This is a remark to my paper [1], "Configurations and invariant Gauss-Manin connections of integrals". In the sequel we use the terminologies in [1].

Consider the integral

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\phi) = \int \widehat{U}(\lambda) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

for  $\widehat{U}(\lambda) = \widehat{f}_0^{\lambda_0} \widehat{f}_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \widehat{f}_m^{\lambda_m}$ ,  $\lambda_0, \cdots, \lambda_m \in C$  where  $\widehat{f}_0$  and  $\widehat{f}_j$  denote functions  $1 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_n^2$ ,  $\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_{j,\nu} x_{\nu} + u_{j,0}$  respectively. We put  $a_{0,0} = 1$ ,  $a_{j,k} = \sum_{i=0}^n u_{j,\nu} u_{k,\nu}$  and  $a_{j,0} = u_{j,0}$  for  $1 \leq j$ ,  $k \leq m$ .  $u_{j,\nu}$  are normalized such that  $a_{j,j} = 1$  for all j. For the symmetric configuration matrix  $A = ((a_{j,k}))_{0 \leq j,k \leq m}$  we denote by  $A(i_1, \cdots, i_p)$  the subdeterminant of the  $i_1, \cdots, i_p$  th lines and the  $i_1, \cdots, i_p$  th columns. A sequence of 1-forms  $\theta(i_1, \cdots, i_p)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n+1$  are defined in an inductive way:

$$\theta \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ i \end{array} \right) = da_{0,i}$$

$$(2)_{2} \qquad \theta \binom{\phi}{j, k} = da_{j,k} + \frac{A\binom{0, k}{k, j}}{A(0, k)} da_{0,k} + \frac{A\binom{0, j}{j, k}}{A(0, j)} da_{0,j}$$

$$(2)_{p} \qquad \theta \binom{\phi}{i_{1}, \dots, i_{p}} = \sum_{1}^{p} (-1)^{\nu} \theta \binom{\phi}{i_{1}, \dots, i_{\nu}, \dots, i_{p}} \cdot \frac{A\binom{0, i_{1}, \dots, \hat{i}_{\nu}, \dots, i_{p}}{i_{1}, \dots, i_{p}}}{A(0, i_{1}, \dots, \hat{i}_{\nu}, \dots, i_{p})},$$

$$\text{for } p \geq 3.$$

where  $\dots$ ,  $\hat{i}_{\nu}$ ... denotes the deletion of the index  $i_{\nu}$ . (There are misprints in (3, 9), (3, 10), (3, 11) and (3, 12), [1] which should be corrected as above.)

Received October 30, 1985