

A Note on Test Sufficiency in Weakly Dominated Statistical Experiments

Tokitake KUSAMA and Junji FUJII

Waseda University and Osaka City University

Introduction

Let $\mathcal{E}=(X, \underline{A}, P)$ be a statistical experiment or simply an experiment, i.e., X be a set, \underline{A} a σ -field of subsets of X and P a family of probability measures on \underline{A} . A set N is called P -null if $p(N)=0$ for all $p \in P$, and written $N=\emptyset [P]$. For A and B in \underline{A} , we write $A \subset B [P]$ if $A-B=\emptyset [P]$. A subfield \underline{B} of \underline{A} is called test sufficient if for any \underline{A} -measurable test function f , i.e., $0 \leq f \leq 1$, there exists a \underline{B} -measurable test function g such that $\int f dp = \int g dp$ for all $p \in P$.

An experiment \mathcal{E} is called weakly dominated if there exists a measure λ on \underline{A} such that (a) for each p in P , there exists a density $dp/d\lambda$ and $P \equiv \lambda$, i.e., all the λ -null sets are P -null and vice versa, and (b) for every family $\{A_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ consisting of subsets which are σ -finite with respect to λ , there exists a set U called essential supremum, which satisfies (b-1) $U \in \underline{A}$, (b-2) $A_\gamma \subset U [\lambda]$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and (b-3) if $A \in \underline{A}$ and $A_\gamma \subset A [\lambda]$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $U \subset A [\lambda]$.

An experiment \mathcal{E} is called majorized if for each $p \in P$, there exists a set $S(p) \in \underline{A}$ called an \mathcal{E} -support of p , which satisfies

S-1. $p(S(p))=1$, and

S-2. $P \ll p$ on $S(p)$, i.e., if $N \in \underline{A}$, $N \subset S(p)$ and $p(N)=0$, then $N=\emptyset [P]$.

A weakly dominated experiment \mathcal{E} is majorized since for each $p \in P$, $\{x \in X; (dp/d\lambda)(x) > 0\}$ is an \mathcal{E} -support of p .

In a majorized experiment there exists a subclass \underline{F} of \underline{A} called a maximal decomposition, which satisfies

D-1. for each $F \in \underline{F}$, there exists $p \in P$ such that $p(F) > 0$ and $F \subset S(p) [P]$,

D-2. for any distinct sets F and G in \underline{F} , $F \cap G = \emptyset [P]$,

D-3. each $p \in P$ is concentrated on a countable number of sets in \underline{F} and

D-4. if $A \in \underline{A}$ and $A \cap F = \emptyset [P]$ for all $F \in \underline{F}$, then $A = \emptyset [P]$.