

## Substitution in Two Symbols and Transcendence

Kumiko NISHIOKA, Taka-aki TANAKA and Zhi-Ying WEN

*Keio University and Wuhan University*

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be a finite nonempty set of symbols and let  $A^*$  and  $A^\omega$  denote the sets of all finite words over  $A$  and all sequences  $x_0x_1 \cdots x_k \cdots$  ( $x_k \in A$ ), respectively. Let  $\lambda$  be the empty word. A *substitution* (over  $A$ ) is a map  $\sigma: A \rightarrow A^* \setminus \{\lambda\}$ , which has a natural extension to  $\Omega = A^* \cup A^\omega$  by concatenation:  $\sigma(x_0x_1 \cdots) = \sigma(x_0)\sigma(x_1) \cdots$ . If  $a_i$  is a prefix of  $\sigma(a_i)$  and the length of  $\sigma(a_i)$  is greater than 1, then there is a unique  $w \in \Omega$  having a prefix  $a_i$  and being a fixed point of  $\sigma$ , which means that  $\sigma(w) = w$ . Any real algebraic irrational  $\theta$  can be uniquely expressed as

$$\theta = \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k 2^{-k}, \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  is a nonnegative integer depending on  $\theta$  and  $\varepsilon_k = 0$  or  $1$ . The problem we are interested in is whether the sequence  $\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_1 \cdots \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$  is a fixed point of any substitution over  $\{0, 1\}$  or not.

Generally, for a fixed point  $w = x_0x_1 \cdots$  of the given substitution  $\sigma$ , we define the generating function of  $w$  for  $a_i$  by

$$f_i(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \chi_k(w; a_i) z^k, \quad (2)$$

where  $\chi_k(w; a_i) = 1$  if  $x_k = a_i$ , and otherwise  $\chi_k(w; a_i) = 0$ , so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

It is known that  $f_i(z)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) satisfy a Mahler type functional equation if  $\sigma$  is of constant length, which means that each  $\sigma(a_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) has the same length  $\geq 2$ , and it is also known that if  $\sigma$  is of nonconstant length, i.e., the lengths of  $\sigma(a_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are not equal, then we can construct  $g_1(\mathbf{z}), \dots, g_n(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbf{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$  satisfying a Mahler