A Remark on the Breuer's Conjecture Related to the Maillet's Matrix

Izumi KURIBAYASHI

Hamamatsu University

(Communicated by F. Momose)

Introduction

We are concerned with a question asked by F. Momose whether the $\phi(n)/2$ real numbers $\cot(u\pi/n)$ (0 < u < n/2, (u, n) = 1) are independent over **Q** or not. Here $\phi(n)$ denotes Euler's phi function. In his book [1], T. Breuer settled the equivalent problem (cf. Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 3.2 below) in the case where *n* is a prime power with n > 2, and conjectured the **Q**-independence for any *n* with n > 2 (cf. Conjecture C.12 in [1]). In this note, reducing to the nonvanishing of $L(1, \chi)$, we prove the following, which implies the **Q**-independence of $\cot(u\pi/n)$'s (cf. §2).

PROPOSITION. Let *n* be an integer with n > 2. Then the rank of the matrix $\left(\frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{au_b^*}{n}\right)\right)$ is equal to $\phi(n)/2$, where *a*, *b* range over the set $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $\{1, \ldots, \phi(n)/2\}$ respectively.

As for notations such as $\langle \rangle$, u_b , u_b^* , see Notation below.

The Maillet's determinant, that is the determinant of the matrix in Proposition above in the case where *a* ranges over the set $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{\phi(n)/2}\}$, has been studied in various way (e.g., [2], [7], [6]). It is equal to the first factor of the class number, up to non-zero factor in the case where *n* is a prime power (cf. §3).

In this note, we deduce this Proposition by proving the following

THEOREM. Let *n* be an integer with n > 2. Then the following holds:

$$\Delta(\zeta_n^{u_1}/(1-\zeta_n^{u_1}),\ldots,\zeta_n^{u_{\phi(n)/2}}/(1-\zeta_n^{u_{\phi(n)/2}}),1,\zeta_n,\zeta_n^2,\ldots,\zeta_n^{\phi(n)/2-1})$$

= $\pm \frac{2}{Qw}(n\sqrt{-1})^{\phi(n)/2} \cdot h_n^- \cdot d \cdot \prod_{\chi:odd} L_{\chi},$

where as for the notation $\Delta(t_1, \ldots, t_{\phi(n)})$ see Notation below and the other symbols denote

Received June 6, 2005; revised September 14, 2007