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§1. Introduction. In this note we shall prove the following
Theorem. Let $\Delta$ be any graph which is a constant link of a finite graph and which has at least one isolated vertex and at least three vertices. Then for any countable group $G$ there are infinitely many connected graphs $\Gamma$ with constant link $\Delta$ and Aut $\Gamma \cong G$.

Since $n K_{1}$ is the constant link of $K_{n, n}$, we have the following
Corollary. For any countable group $G$ and any integer $n \geqq 3$ there are infinitely many connected n-regular graphs $\Gamma$ with Aut $\Gamma \cong G$.

The case $n=3$ and with finite group $G$ of this corollary was proved by Frucht [1] and this result was extended to general $n \geqq 3$ by Sabidussi [2]. The case with finite group $G$ of our theorem was proved by Vogler [4]. Our proof is an extension of [4]. We shall use the same notations as in [4].
§ 2. Proof of Theorem. First we refer to the following lemma without proof, whose proof is similar to that in [4; Theorem 1].

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a countable group, $\Delta$ a constant link of a finite graph with at least three vertices and at least one isolated vertex. If for each $k=3,4,5$ there are infinitely many connected $k$-regular prime graphs $\prod_{k}$ with Aut $\prod_{k} \cong G$ and a stable $k$-coloring, then there are infinitely many connected graphs $\Gamma$ with constant link $\Delta$ and Aut $\Gamma \cong G$.

Thus it is sufficient to prove the next lemma to prove our theorem.
Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a countable group. Then for each $k=3,4,5$ there are infinitely many connected $k$-regular prime graphs $\prod_{k}$ with Aut $\prod_{k} \cong G$ and a stable $k$-coloring.

Proof. First we show that for each $k=3,4,5$ there is a connected $k$-regular prime graph $\Gamma_{k}$ with Aut $\Gamma_{k} \cong G$ and a stable $k$-coloring. If $G$ is generated by a finite number of its elements, we see the existence of such a graph $\Gamma_{k}$ for each $k=3,4,5$ by graphs similarly constructed to those in [1; Theorem 4.1], [2; Theorem 3.7] and [4; Lemma 5]. So we assume for a while that $G$ is not generated by any finite subset. Let $S=\left\{x_{i}: i \in N\right\}$ be an infinite subset of $G$ satisfying $S \nexists 1$ and $\langle S\rangle=G$. Let us set $G_{i}=\left\langle x_{i}, x_{2}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, x_{i}\right\rangle$. Now for every integer $i \geqq 2$ if $x_{i}$ is contained in $G_{i-1}$, we remove $x_{i}$ from $S$. Consequently, $G=\langle S\rangle$ holds and there is no finite subset $\left\{s_{i}\right.$ : $i=1,2, \cdots, t\}$ of $S$ satisfying $s_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} s_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \cdots s_{t}^{\varepsilon_{t}}=1$ with $\varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1$. Hereafter we set
$S=\left\{y_{i}: i \in N\right\}$. Let us define graphs $\Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{4}$ and $\Gamma_{5}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)=V\left(\Gamma_{4}\right)=V\left(\Gamma_{5}\right)=\{(j, g): j \in N, g \in G\} \\
& E\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)=\{[(1, g),(2, g)],[(1, g),(3, \mathrm{~g})],[(1, g),(4, g)],[(2, g),(5, g)]
\end{aligned}
$$

