## 46. On a Fundamental Lemma on Weakly Normal Rings

By Tadasi NAKAYAMA Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., May 13, 1953)

Let R be an (associative) ring. With a subset X of R we denote by  $X_r$  (resp.  $X_i$ ) the set of right (resp. left) multiplications of the elements of X onto R. The commuter  $V_{\mathfrak{A}}(X_r)$  of  $X_r$  in the absolute (module-) endomorphism ring  $\mathfrak{A}$  of R is nothing but the X-rightendomorphism ring of R. Now, if S is a subring of R and if the  $S_r$ endomorphism ring  $V_{\mathfrak{A}}(S_r)$  of R (which certainly contains  $R_i$ ) is generated over  $R_i$  by a family of  $R_i$ -semilinear endomorphisms of R, then we say that S is a weakly normal \* subring of R. Recently the writer studied the case where the ring R and its weakly normal subring S are simple rings with minimum condition (or complete primitive rings  $^{**}$ ) and showed that then R is fully reducible as an  $R_l$  $S_r$ -module<sup>8)</sup>; this enabled the writer to obtain a theorem of extension of isomorphisms of certain weakly normal subrings, which forms a generalization and a refinement of the theorems of Artin-Whaples<sup>1)</sup> and Cartan-Dieudonné<sup>4)</sup>, to establish a simple ring generalization of the Cartan-Jacobson<sup>3)6)</sup> Galois theory (for sfields), and further, to extend Hochschild's <sup>5</sup> cohomology theory of simple algebras to simple rings<sup>8)9)10)</sup>. The purpose of the present short note is to observe that this fundamental lemma remains true also in case the subring S is not necessarily simple (or complete primitive) but merely semisimple. This extension entails a corresponding generalization in cohomology theory and has some bearings for Galois theory, though we shall not discuss these in the present note.

We prove thus

**Theorem 1** (Fundamental lemma). Let R be a simple ring having unit element 1 and satisfying minimum condition. Let S be a weakly normal semisimple subring of R containing 1 and satisfying minimum condition. Then R is fully reducible as an R-left- and S-right-module.

**Proof.** Evidently R is  $S_r$ -fully reducible. Let

 $R = \mathfrak{N}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{N}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{N}_s$ 

be the idealistic decomposition of the  $S_r$ -module R; thus each  $\mathfrak{N}_i$  is homogeneously fully reducible with respect to  $S_r$ , and distinct  $\mathfrak{N}_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}_j$ have no mutually isomorphic minimal  $S_r$ -submodules. The  $S_r$ -endo-

<sup>\*)</sup> Dieudonné 4), Nakayama 8)9)10).

<sup>\*\*&</sup>gt; With certain modification of definition and under certain restrictions.