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## III. Simple mother-descendants combinations

1. Mother-child- $\nu$ th descendant combination

We designate, in general, by

$$
\pi_{\mu \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} \kappa_{\mu \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{z}\right)
$$

the probability of a combination consisting of an individual $A_{\alpha \beta}$ and its $\mu$ th and $\nu$ th collateral descendants $A_{\xi_{1} \eta_{1}}$ and $A_{\xi_{2} \eta_{2}}$, respectively, originated from the same spouse of $A_{\alpha \beta}$.

Three systems will be distinguished according to $\mu=\nu=1$, $\mu=1<\nu$ or $\mu>1=\nu$, and $\mu, \nu>1$. The lowest system has already been treated as the probability of mother-children combination ${ }^{1)}$

$$
\pi\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} \kappa\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \quad\left(\kappa \equiv \kappa_{11}\right)
$$

In the present section we consider the second system while the last system will be postponed into the next section.

Now, based on an evident quasi-symmetry relation

$$
\pi_{\mu \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)=\pi_{\nu \mu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \xi_{1} \eta_{1}\right),
$$

it suffices to deal with the former of the second system. The reduced probability $\kappa_{1 v}$ is then defined by a recurrence equation

$$
\kappa_{1 \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)=\sum \kappa\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, a b\right) \kappa_{\nu-1}\left(a b ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)
$$

It is shown that the probability is expressed by the formula

$$
\kappa_{1 \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)=\kappa\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}\right) \cdot \bar{A}_{\xi_{2} \eta_{2}}+2^{-\nu} W\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)
$$

The quantity $W\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ in the residual term evidently vanishes out unless $A_{\xi_{1} \eta_{1}}$ possesses at least a gene in common with $A_{\alpha \beta}$, and its values are given as follows; cf. also a remark stated at the end of $\mathrm{I}, \S 1$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W(i i ; i i, i i)=3 i^{2}(1-i), & W(i i ; i i, i g)=3 i g(1-2 i), \\
W(i i ; i i, g g)=-3 i g^{2}, & W(i i ; i i, f g)=-6 i f g, \\
W(i i ; i k, i i)=i k(2-3 i), & W(i i ; i k, i k)=k(i+2 k-6 i k), \\
W(i i ; i k, k k)=k^{2}(1-3 k), & W(i i ; i k, i g)=2 k g(1-3 i), \\
W(i i ; i k, k g)=k g^{\prime}(1-6 k), & W(i i ; i k, g g)=-3 k g^{2},
\end{array}
$$
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