

69. On Ergodic Theorems

By Shigeru TSURUMI

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Comm. by Z. SUTUNA, M.J.A., May 13, 1954)

1. Introduction. Let (X, \mathfrak{B}, m) denote a measure space such that X is a set, \mathfrak{B} is a Borel field of subsets of X , and m is a σ -finite measure defined on \mathfrak{B} . Let T be a single-valued, measurable and non-singular transformation of X into itself. The measurability and non-singularity of the transformation are used in the sense of Ryll-Nardzewski [3].¹⁾ In the following, all sets in consideration are supposed \mathfrak{B} -measurable.

We define the following statements.

(I) *There exists a constant K such that for any set A of positive measure*

$$0 < \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m(T^{-i}A) \leq K \cdot m(A).$$

(I') *There exists a constant K such that for any set A*

$$\limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m(T^{-i}A) \leq K \cdot m(A).$$

(II) *There exist a sequence of sets $\{X_j\}$ and a constant K such that*

$$X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots, \quad X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j, \quad m(X_j) < \infty \quad (j=1, 2, \dots),$$

and for any set A of positive measure

$$0 < \sup_j \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m(X_j \cap T^{-i}A) \leq K \cdot m(A) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots).$$

(II') *There exist a sequence of sets $\{X_j\}$ and a constant K such that*

$$X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots, \quad X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j, \quad m(X_j) < \infty \quad (j=1, 2, \dots),$$

and for any set A

$$\limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m(X_j \cap T^{-i}A) \leq K \cdot m(A) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots).$$

(B) *For any function $f \in L(X, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ the limit*

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x)$$

exists almost everywhere (m) and $\tilde{f} \in L(X, \mathfrak{B}, m)$.

1) Numbers in square brackets refer to the references at the end of this paper.