

## 155. Dirichlet Problem on Riemann Surfaces. I

(Correspondence of Boundaries)

By Zenjiro KURAMOCHI

Mathematical Institute, Osaka University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1954)

Let  $\underline{R}$  be an open abstract Riemann surface and let  $\{\underline{R}_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) be an exhaustion with compact relative boundaries  $\{\partial \underline{R}_n\}$ .<sup>1)</sup> Then  $\underline{R} - \underline{R}_n$  is composed of a finite number of disjoint non compact subsurfaces  $\{G_n^i\}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, i_n; n=1, 2, \dots$ ). Let  $\{G_n^i\}$  be a sequence of non compact surfaces such that  $G_n^i \supset G_{n+1}^{i'}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\bigcap_n G_n^i = 0$ . Two sequences  $\{G_n^i\}$  and  $\{G_m^{i'}\}$  are called equivalent, if and only if, for any given number  $m$ , there exists a number  $n$  such that  $G_m^{i'} \supset G_n^i$  and vice versa. We correspond an ideal point (component) to a class of equivalent sequences and denote the set of all ideal boundary points by  $B$ . A topology is introduced on  $\underline{R} + B$  by the completion of  $\underline{R}$ . It is clear that  $\underline{R} + B$  is closed, compact and that  $B$  is totally disconnected. This topology restricted in  $\underline{R}$  is homeomorphic to the original topology. We call this topology  $A$ -topology and denote  $\underline{R} + B$  by  $\underline{R}^{*2)}$

Let  $R$  be an abstract Riemann surface given as a covering surface over  $\underline{R}$ . We define the distance of two points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  of  $R$  by  $\inf(\delta(p_1, p_2))$ , where  $\delta(p_1, p_2)$  is the diameter of the projection of a curve on  $R$  connecting  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , and define the accessible boundary points of  $R$  by the completion of  $R$  with respect to this metric. When a continuous curve  $L$  on  $R$  converges to the boundary of  $R$  and the projection of  $L$  on  $\underline{R}$  tends to a point of  $\underline{R}^*$ , we say that  $L$  determines an accessible boundary point (abbreviated to A.B.P.). It is well known that these two definitions are equivalent.

In this paper we suppose that  $\underline{R}$  is a null-boundary Riemann surface.

*Lemma 1.1.* Let  $R$  be a covering surface over  $\underline{R}$ , let  $\underline{z} = f(z)$  ( $\underline{z} \in \underline{R}, z \in R$ ) be the mapping function from  $R$  into  $\underline{R}$  and let  $L$  be a curve on  $R$  which determines an A.B.P. whose projection on  $B$  is  $\underline{z}_0$ . Suppose that  $R$  does not cover a subset of positive capacity of  $\underline{R}$ . We map the universal covering surface  $R^\infty$  conformally onto the unit circle  $U_\xi: |\xi| < 1$  by  $\xi = \varphi(z)$ . If the image  $l^{3)}$  of  $L$  in  $U_\xi$  tends to a point  $\xi_0$  on  $|\xi| = 1$ , then the composed function  $\underline{z} = f(\varphi^{-1}(\xi))$  has the

- 1) Thought this paper, we denote a relative boundary of  $G$  by  $\partial G$ .
- 2) It is clear that a metric introduced in  $A$ -topology.
- 3) In this case, it is proved that  $l$  does not oscillate.