24. On the Cut Operation in Gentzen Calculi. II

By Kiyoshi Iséki

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1957)

The object of this Note is to give an exact form of Theorem 1 in my Note [1]. Theorem 1 is incorrect and its proof is not sufficient. We follow the terminologies and notations in my Note [1] in the sequel.

Theorem 1. The cut rule in LK-system is replaced by

(1)
$$\frac{\Gamma \to \mathfrak{A} \supset \mathfrak{B}, \ \varDelta \quad \Pi, \ \Gamma \to \mathfrak{A}}{\Gamma, \ \Pi \to \varDelta, \ \mathfrak{B}}$$

and

$$(2) \qquad \xrightarrow{\rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \quad \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow}$$

Proof. In my Note [1], we proved that the cut rule implies (1), and (2) follows from the cut rule immediately. To prove that (1) and (2) imply the cut rule:

(3)
$$\frac{\Gamma \to \mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{A} \quad \mathfrak{A}, \Pi \to \mathcal{A}}{\Gamma, \Pi \to \mathcal{A}, \Lambda}$$

If Λ is not empty, there is a proposition \mathfrak{B} in Λ . Then we have the following proof.

$$\frac{\mathfrak{A}, \Pi \to \Lambda}{\mathfrak{A}, \Pi \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathfrak{B}}$$

$$\frac{\mathfrak{A}, \Pi \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathfrak{A} \supset \mathfrak{B}}{\Pi \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathfrak{A} \supset \mathfrak{B}} \quad \Gamma \to \mathfrak{A}, \Lambda$$

$$\frac{\Pi, \Gamma \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}{\Pi, \Gamma \to \mathcal{A}, \Lambda}$$

In (3), if Λ is empty, we have

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \to \mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{A} \quad \mathfrak{A}, \Pi \to}{\Gamma, \Pi \to \mathcal{J}}$$

If Π is not empty, Π contains a proposition \mathfrak{B} , and then we have,