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1. Introduction. Let us consider a plane parametric curve (not necessarily continuous) given by the equation $\varphi(t)=\langle x(t), y(t)\rangle$, where the variable $t$ ranges over the real line $\boldsymbol{R}$. We assume that this curve is locally rectifiable, i.e. that its arc length $s(I)$ is finite for any closed interval $I$ in $\boldsymbol{R}$. We are interested in the problem of expressing the length by means of the derivatives $x^{\prime}(t)$ and $y^{\prime}(t)$. Of course this is easily solved when, in particular, the curve is continuously differentiable, since we have then, for every $I$, the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(I)=\int_{I} \sqrt{x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}} d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general case, however, the same problem shows itself far more complicated and was not solved until Tonelli proved the following decisive result: we have the relation $s^{\prime}(t)^{2}=x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}$ for almost every point $t$ of $\boldsymbol{R}$, and the integral on the right of (1) does not exceed $s(I)$ for any closed interval $I$, the equality (1) holding if and only if both the functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are absolutely continuous on $I$ (see Saks [3], p. 123).

Now Tonelli's theorem, though without doubt fautless in its own way, cannot nevertheless be regarded, so far as it goes, as a complete and final solution of the problem under consideration, in the following one point: it gives us no insight, even when the curve is continuous, into the nature of the difference between the arc length $s(I)$ and the square-root integral. It is the main object of the present note to remedy this defect by obtaining, at least for continuous curves, a supplement to Tonelli's theorem which resembles in enunciation the decomposition formula of de la Vallée Poussin (vide Saks, p. 127).
2. Heuristic considerations. Retaining the notation of the introduction, let us write $E_{x}$ for the Borel set of the points $t$ for which $x^{\prime}(t)= \pm \infty$, and let $E_{y}$ be defined correspondingly. According to de la Vallée Poussin's theorem (loc. cit.) we have, for every bounded Borel set $A$ at whose points $t$ the curve $\varphi(t)$ is continuous,

$$
x^{*}(A)=x^{*}\left(A E_{x}\right)+\int_{A} x^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

and a similar relation for $y^{*}$ (the set $E_{x}$ being replaced by $E_{y}$, needless to say), where $x^{*}$ and $y^{*}$ represent the outer measures of Carathéodory induced by $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ respectively. This at once suggests us the

