## 71. Relations among Topologies on Riemann Surfaces. I

By Zenjiro KURAMOCHI Mathematical Institute, Hokkaido University (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., July 12, 1962)

Let R be a Riemann surface and let  $R_n(n=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$  be its exhaustion with compact relative boundary  $\partial R_n$ . Suppose R is a Riemann surface with positive boundary (if R has null-boundary, consider  $R-R_0$  instead of R). Then we can introduce some topologies from the original topology (defined by local parameters) which are homeomorphic to the original topology in R. We know Stoilow's, Green's, K-Martin's and N-Martin's topologies<sup>1)</sup> (we abbreviate them by S. T., G. T., KM. T and NM. T respectively in the present papers). Also we can define the ideal boundary B by the completion of Rwith respect to  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha = S$ , G, KM or NM)-topology. When R is a subdomain in the z-plane, the boundary of R is realized. In this case also we can use the topology defined by Euclidean metric abbreviated by E.T. To study potential, analytic functions and the structure of Riemann surfaces, we use suitable topologies on R. But it is important to consider the relations among topologies on R.

Let  $[p]^{\alpha}$  be a point of  $\overline{R} = R + B$  with respect to  $\alpha$ -topology and let  $[v_n(p)]^{\alpha} = E\left[z \in \overline{R}: \operatorname{dist}(p, z) < \frac{1}{n}\right]$ , where  $\operatorname{dist}(p, z)$  is the distance between p and z with respect to  $\alpha$ -topology. Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ topologies are defined on  $\overline{R}$ . Then  $\lim_{n} [\overline{v_n(p)}]^{\alpha} = p = \lim_{n} [\overline{v_n(p)}]^{\beta}$  for  $p \in R$ . If  $\lim_{n} [\overline{v_n(p)}]^{\alpha} = [p]^{\beta}$  for every  $p \in \overline{R}$ , we say that  $\alpha$  is finer than  $\beta$  and denote it by  $\alpha \succ \beta$ . If  $\alpha$  is not finer than  $\beta$  and also  $\beta$ is not finer than  $\alpha$ , we say that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are independent and denote it by  $\alpha \not\asymp \beta$ . Suppose KM. T and NM. T are defined in  $\overline{R}$ . Let  $B_1^r$ be the set of  $\gamma$ -minimal point  $(\gamma = K \text{ or } N)$ .<sup>2)</sup> Then  $B - B_1^r = B_0^r$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set of harmonic measure zero for K and of capacity zero for Nrespectively. Let G be a domain in R and  $p \in B_1^r$ . If  $K_{cG}(z, p)$  $< K(z, p)(_{cG}N(z, p) < N(z, p))$ , we say  $G \ni p(G \ni p)$ , where  $K_{cG}(z, p)(_{cG}(N(z, p)))$ is the least positive super (super<sup>3)</sup>) harmonic function in R (in  $R - R_0$ ) larger than G. Then we proved that such domains have almost the

<sup>1)</sup> Z. Kuramochi: On the behaviour of analytic functions on the ideal boundary. II, Proc. Japan Acad., **38**, 188-193 (1962).

<sup>2)</sup> Z. Kuramochi: On potentials on Riemann surfaces, Journ. Hokkaido Univ., (1962).

<sup>3)</sup> See 2).