## 135. A Proof of Kotaké and Narasimhan's Theorem

By Hikosaburo Komatsu

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1962)

We shall give a simple proof of the following theorem announced by Kotaké and Narasimhan [1].

**Theorem.** Let P = P(x, D) be a linear elliptic differential operator of order m with analytic coefficients in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, a function u = u(x) is analytic in  $\Omega$  if and only if it satisfies (1)  $||P^{p}u||_{L^{2}(G)} \leq B^{p+1}(pm)!$   $(p=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ for every relatively compact subdomain  $G \subset \Omega$  with a constant B depending only P, G and u.

**Proof of Sufficiency.** u is in  $C^{pm-[n+1]/2}(\Omega)$  if  $P^p u$  is in  $L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$ . Therefore we may suppose that u is infinitely differentiable.

For functions f in  $C^{\infty}(G)$  we define

$$||\nabla^{q}f||_{\delta} = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} ||D^{\alpha}f||_{L^{2}(G_{\delta})},$$

where  $G_{\delta}$  is the set of points  $x \in G$  such that the distance from x to the boundary of G is larger than  $\delta$ . We shall make use of the following apriori inequalities (see [3] for a proof).

 $(2) || \nabla^m f ||_{\delta+\sigma} \leq C(|| Pf ||_{\sigma} + \delta^{-m} || f ||_{\sigma}),$ 

(3)  $||\nabla^{m-r}f||_{\delta+\sigma} \leq C\varepsilon^{r}(||\nabla^{m}f||_{\sigma} + (\delta^{-m} + \varepsilon^{-m})||f||_{\sigma}) \quad (0 \leq r \leq m).$  $\varepsilon$  may take an arbitrary positive number and the constant C depends only on P and G.

We fix a positive constant  $\rho$  and define the semi-norm  $N^{pm}(u)$  by  $N^{pm}(u) = \sup_{\substack{\delta \leq \rho}} \delta^{pm} || V^{pm}u ||_{\delta}.$ 

First we shall prove that if  $\rho$  is sufficiently small, then

(4) 
$$N^{pm}(u) \leq C_0 \left\{ N^{(p-1)m}(Pu) + \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{(pm)!}{(qm)!} N^{qm}(u) \right\}$$

holds for every  $u \in C^{\infty}(G)$  with a constant  $C_0$  independent of u and  $p=1, 2, \cdots$ .

When p=1, (4) is obviously valid with  $C_0=2^mC$ . In case  $p+1\geq 2$ , it follows from (2) that

$$N^{(p+1)m}(u) = \sup_{\substack{(p+2)\delta \leq \rho \\ (p+2)\delta \leq \rho}} ((p+2)\delta)^{(p+1)m} || \mathcal{F}^{(p+1)m} u ||_{(p+2)\delta} \\ \leq 9^m C \sup_{\substack{(p+2)\delta \leq \rho \\ (p+2)\delta \leq \rho}} (p\delta)^{(p+1)m} \{ || P \mathcal{F}^{pm} u ||_{(p+1)\delta} + \delta^{-m} || \mathcal{F}^{pm} u ||_{(p+1)\delta} \}.$$

Because of the analyticity of the coefficients of P(x, D), their *r*-th derivatives are majorated by  $A^{r+1}r!$  with a constant  $A \ge 1$ .

Leibniz' formula gives

$$||PV^{pm}u||_{(p+1)\delta} \leq ||V^{pm}Pu||_{(p+1)\delta} + \sum_{r=1}^{pm} \binom{pm}{r} ||P^{[r]}V^{pm-r}u||_{(p+1)\delta}$$