26. The Relation between (N, p_n) and (\overline{N}, p_n) Summability

By Kazuo Ishiguro

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1965)

We suppose, throughout this note, that

$$p_n > 0, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = \infty,$$

 $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots.$

The Nörlund transformation (N, p_n) is defined as transforming the sequence $\{s_n\}$ into the sequence $\{t_n\}$ by means of the equation

(1)
$$t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_{\nu}.$$

As is well known, this transformation is regular if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{p_n}{P_n}=0.$$

See Hardy [1], p. 64.

The discontinuous Riesz transformation (\overline{N}, p_n) is defined as transforming the sequence $\{s_n\}$ into the sequence $\{u_n\}$ by means of the equation

$$(3) u_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{\nu} s_{\nu} .$$

This transformation is regular (see Hardy [1], p. 57).

As is easily seen, the transformations (N, p_n) and (\overline{N}, p_n) take symmetric forms, hence we can expect the close relation between them. We shall prove here the following

Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$\{p_n\} is non-increasing,$$

and that

$$(5) p_n \geq \sigma > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

Then (\overline{N}, p_n) implies^{*)} (N, p_n) .

Proof. From (3) we have

$$s_n = \frac{P_n u_n - P_{n-1} u_{n-1}}{p_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

with $P_{-1} = u_{-1} = 0$. Hence, from (1),

^{*)} Given two summability methods A, B, we say that A implies B if any sequence summable A is summable B to the same sum.