A Perturbation Theorem for Semi-groups 139. of Linear Operators

By Kôsaku Yosida

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo (Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1965)

Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semi-group T_t of class (C₀) on the Banach space $X^{(1)}$ A is thus a closed linear operator with the domain D(A) and the range R(A) both in X such that: i) D(A) is dense in X, and ii) the resolvent $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ exists as a bounded linear operator on X into X satisfying the estimate $||\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}|| \leq 1$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Let B likewise be the infinitesimal generator of another contraction semi-group of class (C_0) on X. Then the condition $D(B) \ge D(A)$ implies, by the closed graph theorem, that there exist positive constants a and b such that

 $||Bx|| \le a ||Ax|| + b ||x||$ for $x \in D(A)$.

As an important remark to Theorem 2 in H. F. Trotter [1] (Cf. T. Kato [1]), E. Nelson [1] proved that (A+B) with the domain D(A+B)=D(A) is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semigroup of class (C₀) if we can take a < 1/2.

The purpose of the present note is to propose a sufficient condition in order that Nelson's hypothesis be satisfied. We shall prove

Theorem. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\widehat{A}_{\alpha} = -(-A)^{\alpha}$ be the fractional power of A, and let us assume that $D(B) \ge D(\widehat{A}_{\alpha})$. Then (A+B)with the domain D(A+B)=D(A) is the infinitesimal generator of a contraction semi-group of class (C_0) on X.

Corollary. Assume, furthermore, that A generates a holomorphic semi-group, then (A+B) with the domain D(A+B)=D(A) also generates a holomorphic semi-group.

Remark 1.²⁾ The fractional power \widehat{A}_{α} is defined as the infinitesimal generator of the semi-group of class (C_0) :

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \widehat{T}_{t,\alpha}x = \int_0^\infty f_{t,\alpha}(s) T_s x \, ds \quad (t > 0, x \in X),$$

where

(2)
$$f_{t,\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} e^{zs-tz^{\alpha}} dz (\sigma > 0, t > 0, s \ge 0),$$

the branch of z^{α} being taken so that $Re(z^{\alpha}) > 0$ for Re(z) > 0. According to V. Balakrishnan [1], we have $D(A_{\alpha}) \geq D(A)$ and

(3)
$$(-A)^{\alpha}x = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\alpha-1} (\lambda I - A)^{-1} (-Ax) d\lambda$$
 for $x \in D(A)$.

See, e.g., E, Hille-R. S. Phillips [1] or K. Yosida [1].
See, e.g., K. Yosida [1].