80. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. XVII

By Yoshinari ARAI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1966)

In his note [1], K. Iséki considers the equivalential calculus, given by S. Leśniewski [2], as the abstract set $M = \langle M, \equiv \rangle$ which satisfies the following axioms:

 $1 \quad p \equiv r = .q \equiv p : = .r \equiv q,$

 $2 \quad p \equiv .q \equiv r : \equiv :p \equiv q . \equiv r.$

By a variant of Lukasiewicz symbolism we can also write these axioms as

 $1 \quad EEE prEqpErq,$

 $2 \quad EEpEqrEEpqr$,

where E corresponds to the truth functor \equiv (see A. N. Prior [3]).

The purpose of our paper is to present some theses of equivalential calculus. In this calculus, we use the rule of substitution and the rule of detachment, i.e. α and $E_{\alpha\beta}$ imply β .

Using the rules of inference we can prove from the above axioms:

 $1 \quad EEE prEqpErq,$

 $2 \quad EEpEqrEEpqr$,

the following theses:

 $1 \ p/q, \ q/Eqp, \ r/Epq \ *C2 \ p/q, \ q/p, \ r/q-3,$

 $3 \quad EEpqEqp.$

3 p/EpEqr, q/EEpqr *C2-4,

 $4 \quad EEEpqrEpEqr.$

Having proved theses 3 and 4 we are now in a position to give a proof of the following

Theorem 1. The equivalential calculus M is characterized by the following axioms:

 $3 \quad EEpqEqp$,

 $4 \quad EEEpqrEpEqr.$

Proof. We shall use prooflines by J. Lukasiewicz for the proof of theses.

3 p/EEpqr, q/EpEqr *C4-5,

 $5 \quad EEpEqrEEpqr.$

5 p/EpEqr, q/Epq *C5-6,

 $6 \quad EEEpEqrEpqr.$

3 p/EEqErpEqr, q/p *C6 p/q, q/r, r/p-7,

7 EpEEqErpEqr.

 $5 \ q/EqErp, \ r/Eqr \ *C7-8,$