130 On Ranked Spaces and Linearity

By Masako Washihara

Kyoto Industrial University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Sept. 12, 1967)

Let E be a linear space over the real or complex numbers, where defined families of subsets $\mathfrak{B}_n(n=0, 1, 2, \dots,)$ which satisfy following conditions:

- (A) For every V in \mathfrak{B} , $0 \in V$ (where $\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_n$).
- (B) For U, V in $\mathfrak V$ there is a W in $\mathfrak V$ such that $W \subseteq U \cap V$.
- (a) For any U in \mathfrak{B} and for any integer n, there is an m such that $m \ge n$, and a V in \mathfrak{B}_m such that $V \subseteq U$.
 - (b) $E \in \mathfrak{B}_0$.

For each point x in E, we shall call x+V a neighbourhood of x with rank n, when $V \in \mathfrak{B}_n$. Then E is a ranked space [1] with indicator ω_0 . Furthermore, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E, we have $\{\lim x_n\}\ni x$ [1] if and only if $\{\lim (x_n-x)\}\ni 0$. In fact, if $\{\lim x_n\}\ni x$, there exists a sequence of neighbourhoods of x, $\{v_n(x)\}$, such that

 $v_n(x) = x + V_n$, $V_n \in \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_n \uparrow \infty$, $v_n(x) \supseteq v_{n+1}(x)$, $x_n \in v_n(x)$. This implies that $V_n \supseteq V_{n+1}$, and therefore $\{\lim (x_n - x)\} \ni 0$. The converse is also obvious.

Now, we set following three axioms concerning the relation between the linear operations and the ranks of neighbourhoods.

- (1) There exists a non-negative function $\phi(\lambda, \mu)$, defined for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$, such that $\lim_{\lambda,\mu\to\infty} \phi(\lambda,\mu) = \infty$, and the following holds; if $U \in \mathfrak{B}_l$, $V \in \mathfrak{B}_m$, $W \in \mathfrak{B}_n$, $n \leq \phi(l,m)$, and $U+V \subseteq W$, then, there is an $n^* \geq \phi(l,m)$, and a $W^* \in \mathfrak{B}_{n^*}$ such that $U+V \subseteq W^* \subseteq W$.
- (2) There exists a non-negative function $\psi(\lambda, \mu)$, defined for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \psi(\lambda, \mu) = \infty$ for each fixed μ , and the following holds; let α be a scalar with $|\alpha| \geq 1$. If $U \in \mathfrak{B}_m$, $V \in \mathfrak{B}_n$, $\alpha U \subseteq V$, and $n \leq \psi(m, |\alpha|)$, then there is an $n^* \geq \psi(m, |\alpha|)$ and a $V^* \in \mathfrak{B}_{n^*}$ such that $\alpha U \subseteq V^* \subseteq V$.
- (3) Let $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $x \in U$. Then for any n, there is an $m \ge n$, $a \ V \in \mathfrak{B}_m$ and some positive ρ such that $\rho x \in V \subseteq U$.

Moreover, we assume that every V in $\mathfrak B$ is circled (i.e. if $x \in V$ and $|\alpha| \le 1$, then $\alpha x \in V$).

When E satisfies all these axioms, we can assert that

- I. if $\{\lim x_n\} \ni x$ and $\{\lim y_n\} \ni y$, then $\{\lim (x_n + y_n)\} \ni x + y$.
- II. if $\{\lim x_n\} \ni x$, then for any scalar λ , $\{\lim \lambda x_n\} \ni \lambda x$.