

## 210. On Some Classes of Operators. II

By V. ISTRĂTESCU and I. ISTRĂTESCU

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1967)

In [2], [4] some classes of non-normal operators were introduced namely the classes  $\mathcal{C}(N, k)$ . The definition of these classes is:

**Definition 1.** An operator  $T$  on Hilbert space is in  $\mathcal{C}(N, k)$  if

$$\|Tx\|^k \leq \|T^k x\|$$

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ .

The aim of this Note is to give some new results connected with these classes.

**Theorem 1.** There exists an operator  $T$  which is normaloid and is not in  $\mathcal{C}(N, k)$  for all  $k$ .

**Proof.** We consider the operator [6]

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $I$  be the one-dimensional identity operator and put

$$T = A \oplus I.$$

It is very easy to see (this was firstly observed by Toeplitz) that

$$\text{Cl } W(A) = \{z, |z| \leq 1/2\}$$

(Here  $W(A) = \{\langle Ax, x \rangle, \|x\| = 1\}$  and  $\text{Cl } E$  denotes the closure of such a set  $E$ ). Also  $\text{Cl } W(T)$  is the convex hull of  $\text{Cl } W(A)$  and the point  $\{1\}$ . Since

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle| \geq 1 = \|T\|$$

it is clear that  $T$  is normaloid.

We consider  $T$  as an operator on a finite dimensional space. Then it is clear that if  $T \in \mathcal{C}(N, k)$ ,  $T$  must be normal by Theorem 3 of [4].

This leads to the fact that  $T$  is not in  $\mathcal{C}(N, k)$  for all  $k$  and the theorem is proved.

**Remark 1.** The construction of examples of operators which are normaloid and are not in  $\mathcal{C}(N, k)$  for all  $k$  has the following reason: the restriction of a normaloid operator to an invariant subspace is not generally normaloid.

The following theorem represents a generalization to our case of results in [10], [11].

**Theorem 2.** If  $p(\lambda)$  is a polynomial non-vanishing on  $\sigma(T) - \{0\}$  and  $p(T)$  is a Riesz operator of class  $\mathcal{C}(N, k)$  for some  $k$  then  $T$  is normal.

**Proof.** The fact that  $p(\lambda)$  is non-vanishing on  $\sigma(T) - \{0\}$  implies [9] that  $T$  is a Riesz-operator. The proof of the fact that  $T$  must be normal is modeled on a proof of Theorem 2 of [3]. By Theorem