## 57. A Construction for Idempotent Binary Relations

## By B. M. SCHEIN

## (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 12, 1970)

The problem of characterizing the idempotent elements of the semigroup  $\mathcal{R}_A$  of all binary relations over a set A is of interest, since the semigroup  $\mathcal{R}_A$  was a subject of numerous studies. This problem has been mentioned in [1]. Here we present a solution to this problem.

Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_A$ . The set of all  $a \in A$  such that  $(a, a_1) \in \rho$  or  $(a_1, a) \in \rho$  for some  $a_1 \in A$  is called the *field* of  $\rho$  and is denoted as  $pr\rho$ .  $\rho$  may be considered as a binary relation over its field.

If  $\alpha \subset A$ , then  $\Delta_{\alpha}$  denotes the binary relation over A defined as follows:  $(a_1, a_2) \in \Delta_{\alpha}$  iff  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $a_1 \in \alpha$ .

A reflexive and transitive binary relation is called a *quasi-order* relation. An antisymmetric quasi-order relation is called an *order* relation.

Let  $\rho$  be a binary relation over a set I and  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of pairwise disjoint nonempty sets. Then the binary relation  $\bigcup_{\substack{(i,j) \in \rho}} (A_i \times A_j)$ over the set  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  is called an *inflation* of  $\rho$ . It is known that *infla*tions of order relations are quasi-order relations and every quasi-order relation is a uniquely determined inflation of a uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) order relation. Thus, the structure of quasi-order relations may be considered as known modulo order relations.

Let  $\rho$  be a quasi-order relation over a set A. Then  $\varepsilon_{\rho} = \rho \cap \rho^{-1}$  is the symmetric part of  $\rho$  (here  $\rho^{-1}$  is the converse of  $\rho$ ). Clearly,  $\varepsilon_{\rho}$  is an equivalence relation over A. An element  $a \in A$  is called  $\rho$ -strict if the  $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ -class containing a is a singleton, i.e., if  $(a, a_0), (a_0, a) \in \rho$  imply  $a=a_0$ . An element  $a_1 \in A$  covers an element  $a_2 \in A$  if  $(a_1, a), (a, a_2) \in \rho$ imply  $a=a_1$  or  $a=a_2$  for every  $a \in A$ , and  $(a_1, a_2) \in \rho$ . Two elements  $a_1$ and  $a_2$  are called  $\rho$ -neighbors if  $a_1$  covers  $a_2$  or  $a_2$  covers  $a_1$ . A subset  $\alpha \subset A$  is called  $\rho$ -permissible, if all elements of  $\alpha$  are  $\rho$ -strict and  $\alpha$  does not contain  $\rho$ -neighbors.

A binary relation  $\sigma$  is called a *pseudo-order* relation if  $\sigma = \rho \setminus \Delta_{\alpha}$ where  $\rho$  is a quasi-order relation and  $\alpha$  is a  $\rho$ -permissible subset. Here\is the set-theoretical difference. In this case  $\rho$  is called the *completion* of  $\sigma$ , and  $\alpha$  is called the *defect* of  $\sigma$ . Since  $\rho = \sigma \cup \Delta_A$  and  $\alpha = A \setminus pr(\sigma \cap \Delta_A)$ , the completion and defect of  $\sigma$  are uniquely determined. Notice that  $pr\sigma$  need not be equal to A.

**Theorem.** A binary relation is idempotent if and only if it is a