## 121. Paracompactifications of M.spaces

By Kiiti MORITA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Education and University of Pittsburgh

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., June 12, 1970)

By a space we shall always mean a completely regular Hausdorff space unless otherwise specified.

1. Let X be a space with a uniformity  $\Phi$  agreeing with the topology of X; that is,  $\Phi$  is a family of open coverings of X satisfying conditions (a) to (c) below, where for coverings 11 and  $\mathfrak{B}$  of X we mean by  $11 < \mathcal{D}$  that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a refinement of 11.<br>(a) If 11,  $\mathcal{D} \in \Phi$ , then there ex-

If 1I,  $\mathfrak{B} \in \Phi$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{B} \in \Phi$  such that  $11 \lt \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \langle \mathfrak{B}.$ <br>(b) If  $\mathfrak{U} \in \Phi$ , there is  $\mathfrak{B} \in \Phi$  which is a star-refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}.$ 

(c)  $\{St(x, 11) | 11 \in \Phi\}$  is a basis of neighborhoods at each point x of X.

Let  $\{\phi_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the totality of those normal sequences which consist of open coverings of X contained in  $\Phi$ . Let  $\Phi_i = {\{\mathfrak{U}_{i\ell}\}}_i = 1, 2, \cdots$ ,  $\Phi_1 = \{ \mathfrak{U}_{1i} | i = 1, 2, \cdots \},$ <br>  $\mathfrak{H}, \cdots$  As in [1], we<br>  $\mathfrak{H}$  from X by taking<br>  $\mathfrak{G}$  at each point x of where  $\mathfrak{U}_{\lambda i}$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}_{\lambda,i-1}$  for  $i=2,3,\cdots$ . As in [1], we denote by  $(X, \phi)$  the topological space obtained from X by taking  ${\rm St}(x, \mathfrak{U}_n)|i=1, 2, \cdots$  as a basis of neighborhoods at each point x of X. Let  $X/\phi$  be the quotient space obtained from  $(X, \phi)$  by defining those two points x and y equivalent for which  $y \in St(x, \mathfrak{U}_n)$ , for  $i=1,2,\cdots$ . Then there is a canonical map  $\varphi_i:X\rightarrow X/\varPhi_i$  which is continuous, and  $X/\phi$  is metrizable.

Now we shall define a partial order in  $\{\Phi_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . If each member of  $\Phi_{\lambda}$  has a refinement in  $\Phi_{\mu}$ , we write  $\Phi_{\lambda} < \Phi_{\mu}$ . Then, if  $\Phi_{\lambda} < \Phi_{\mu}$ , there exists a continuous map  $\varphi_i^* : X/\varPhi_{\mu} \to X/\varPhi_{\lambda}$  such that  $\varphi_{\lambda} = \varphi_i^* \circ \varphi_{\mu}$ , and  $\{X/\varPhi_i; \varphi_i^{\mu}\}\$ is an inverse system of metrizable spaces. Let us set  $\mu_{\phi}(X) = \lim X/\phi_{\phi}.$ 

For any point x of X, let us put  $\varphi(x) = {\varphi_1(x)}$ . Then  $\varphi : X \to \mu_\varphi(X)$ is a homeomorphism into.

In case every Cauchy family  $\{C_i\}$  of X with respect to  $\Phi$  which has the countable intersection property is non-vanishing (that is,  $\cap \overline{C} \neq \phi$ ), we say that X is weakly complete with respect to  $\Phi$ .

Theorem 1. The map  $\varphi: X \to \mu_{\varphi}(X)$  is onto if and only if X is weakly complete with respect to  $\Phi$ .

In case  $\Phi$  is the finest uniformity (that is,  $\Phi$  consists of all normal open coverings of X), we write  $\mu(X)$  instead of  $\mu_{\phi}(X)$ . In this case