

## 10. Dimension of the Fixed Point Set of $Z_{p^r}$ -actions

By Katsuo KAWAKUBO  
Osaka University

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1974)

**§ 1. Introduction.** Concerning the dimension of the fixed point set of  $G$ -actions, much has been studied [3], [1], [2], [9], [10], [7], and [8]. In this note, we consider a  $Z_{p^r}$ -action  $(M^n, \phi, Z_{p^r})$  on a closed oriented manifold  $M^n$  and study the relation between the bordism properties of  $M^n$  and the dimension of the fixed point set. If the action is regular, such a problem was studied in [8]. Here we are concerned with general  $Z_{p^r}$ -actions.

In order to state the results, we introduce the following notations. Denote by  $\Omega_n$  the Thom group of all bordism classes  $[M^n]$  of closed oriented smooth  $n$ -manifold  $M^n$ . Let  $\Omega(4j)$  be the subring of  $\Omega_* \otimes Z_p$  generated by  $\{\Omega_0, \Omega_4, \Omega_8, \dots, \Omega_{4j}\}$ . Let  $F(Z_{p^r}, k)$  be the subring of  $\Omega_* \otimes Z_p$  generated by those bordism classes which are represented by a manifold admitting a  $Z_{p^r}$ -action such that the dimension of the fixed point set is less than or equal to  $k$ .

Then we have

$$\text{Theorem. (1) } F(Z_{p^r}, 4k) = F(Z_{p^r}, 4k+1) = \Omega(4kp^r + 2p^r - 2)$$

$$(2) F(Z_{p^r}, 4k+2) = F(Z_{p^r}, 4k+3) = \Omega(4kp^r + 4p^r - 4).$$

**Remark.** If  $k = -1$ , then Theorem means the main result of Conner-Floyd [4].

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(M, Z_{p^r})$  be a  $Z_{p^r}$ -action. If  $[M]$  is indecomposable in  $\Omega_* \otimes Z_p$ , then there exists a component of the fixed point set of dimension greater than or equal to*

$$\frac{\dim M}{p^r} - 2.$$

**Corollary 2.** *Each element  $x \in \Omega_m$  has a representative which admits a  $Z_{p^r}$ -action with fixed point set of dimension less than or equal to  $m/p^r$ .*

Throughout this paper,  $p$  denotes an odd prime integer.

The results in this paper are oriented bordism versions of the excellent papers [5], [7] of tom Dieck.

Detailed proof will appear elsewhere.

**§ 2. Outline of the proof.** The following diagram is an oriented bordism version of tom Dieck [5],