

30. A Necessary Condition for the Well-Posedness of the Cauchy Problem for a Certain Class of Evolution Equations

By JIRO TAKEUCHI

Iron and Steel Technical College

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1974)

§ 1. Introduction. We consider the Cauchy problem for an evolution equation

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t - i\partial_x^2 - b(x, t)\partial_x)u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^1 \times [0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where

$$b(x, t) \in \mathcal{C}_t^0(\mathcal{B}^\infty), \quad u_0(x) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\infty, \quad \partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Under what conditions is the Cauchy problem (*) well posed?

In the case where $b(x, t)$ is constant, Hadamard's condition shows that the necessary and sufficient condition for the Cauchy problem (*) to be well posed is that the coefficient b is a real number (see Theorem 5.3 in S. Mizohata [2]). In the case where $b(x, t)$ is a real-valued function, it is easy to see that the Cauchy problem (*) is well posed in $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\infty$. In the case where $\mathcal{I}_m b(x, t) \not\equiv 0$, as we shall see below, the situation is much more delicate. In order to make this situation clear, we assume that $b(x, t)$ is a function depending only on x , denote it by $b(x)$:

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t - i\partial_x^2 - b(x)\partial_x)u(x, t) = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^1 \times [0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

As we mentioned above, if we fix x_0 such that $\mathcal{I}_m b(x_0) \neq 0$, then the Cauchy problem for the tangential operator (i.e. operator freezing the coefficients) $\partial_t - i\partial_x^2 - b(x_0)\partial_x$ is not well posed in $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\infty$. But in the case where the coefficients depend on x , the situation is different. The following assertion holds:

*Assume that $\mathcal{I}_m b(x)$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbf{R}^1) \cap \mathcal{B}^\infty$. Then the Cauchy problem (**) is well posed in $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\infty$.*

To see this, it is sufficient to note that the linear mapping

$$\mathcal{E}_t^1(\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\infty) \ni u(x, t) \rightarrow v(x, t) = u(x, t) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \mathcal{I}_m b(y) dy\right) \in \mathcal{E}_t^1(\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\infty)$$

is one-to-one, onto, continuous and that $v(x, t)$ satisfies the equation

$$(***) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t - i\partial_x^2 - \mathcal{R}_e b(x)\partial_x + c(x))v(x, t) = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = u_0(x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \mathcal{I}_m b(y) dy\right), \end{cases}$$