## PAPERS COMMUNICATED

## 56. Note on Banach Spaces (III): A Proof of Tietze-Matsumura's Theorem.

By Masahiro NAKAMURA.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai. (Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., June 12, 1942.)

A subset S of a linear metric space E is called *locally convex*, if and only if, for every  $p \in S$  there exists a sphere K with center p such that  $S \cap K$  is convex. In the case of the Euclidean n-spaces H. Tietze<sup>1)</sup> and S. Matsumura<sup>2)</sup> proved, that every closed, connected and locally convex set is convex.

In the present note we extend this theorem into the following form:

Theorem. If E is uniformly convex and S is compact, closed and connected set, then the local convexity of S implies the convexity in the large.

To prove the theorem we choose a finite covering by spheres  $\{K_i\}^{30}$  such that  $S \cap K_i$  is convex; this is possible always, since the set S is compact. If a set  $S \cap K_i \cap K_j$  for  $i \neq j$  is non-void, then we call it a *shoal*. It is evident that a shoal is compact and closed.

On the other hand, we define a bridge as a continuous image of [0,1] to S, which contains only a finite number of line-segments — called girders —, pass through a shoal once only and joint points of girders — called piles — lie in shoals. For the sake of simplicity, we assume a shoal contains only one pile and even if a girder pass through a shoal, we join a pile on it.

Then obviously a bridge can be represented by an ordered set of piles and end-points such that

$$I = (p_0, p_1, ..., p_n)$$
.

Next, we define the *length of bridge* by

$$|I| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |p_i - p_{i-1}|.$$

Since, as remarked above, all shoals are compact, we can find a bridge from a to b with minimal length. Hence to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show the following

Lemma. Every bridge with minimal length between two points of S is itself a line-segment.

<sup>1)</sup> H. Tietze, Math. Zeits., 28 (1928), 697-707.

<sup>2)</sup> S. Matsumura (Nakajima), Tôhoku M. J., 28 (1928), 266-268.

<sup>3)</sup> We assume here  $K_i$ 's sre closed spheres.