## On the Vanishing of Iwasawa Invariants of Certain Cyclic Extensions of Q with Prime Degree

By Takashi FUKUDA

Department of Mathematics, College of Industrial Technology, Nihon University (Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., June 12, 1997)

Abstract: Let  $\ell$  be an odd prime. In [2], Yamamoto gave a condition for  $(\ell, \ell)$ -extensions K of Q, under which the Iwasawa invariants  $\lambda_{\ell}(K)$  and  $\mu_{\ell}(K)$  vanish. In this note, we shall give a condition for  $(\ell, \ell)$ -extensions K of Q, which is weaker than the condition given in [2], under which we have  $\lambda_{\ell}(k) = \mu_{\ell}(k) = 0$  for any subfields k of K with  $[k:Q] = \ell$ . Our proof is based on Greenberg's original idea (cf. [1]), which is more elementary than that in [2], using the capitulation of the  $\ell$ -part of the ideal class group of k in the initial layer of the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -extension of k to assure  $\lambda_{\ell}(k) = \mu_{\ell}(k) = 0$ .

Key words: Capitulation; Iwasawa invariants.

**1.** Introduction. Throughout the paper, we fix an odd prime number  $\ell$ . For a cyclic extension k of Q of degree  $\ell$ , we denote by A(k) the  $\ell$ -primary part of the ideal class proup of k and B(k) the subgroup of A(k) consisting of elements which are invariant under the action of the Galois group G(k/Q). Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$  be the prime numbers which are ramified in k/Q and let  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  be the prime ideal of k lying over  $p_i$ . Then it is easy to see from the genus theory that B(k)is an  $\ell$ -elementary abelian group of rank s-1generated by  $cl(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ ,  $cl(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $cl(\mathfrak{p}_s)$ . Let  $\bar{k}$ (resp.  $\vec{k}$ ) be the  $\ell$ -part of the Hilbert class field (resp. genus field) of k. Then we have the isomorphism  $A(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(\bar{k}/k)$  and hence the surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi: A(k) \ni \operatorname{cl}(\mathfrak{a}) \mapsto \left(\frac{k/k}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \in G(\tilde{k}/k)$$

through the Artin map.

The next lemma and corollary permit us to handle the capitulation problem in k by computation in the Galois group  $G(\tilde{k}/k)$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** We have A(k) = B(k) if and only if the restriction map  $\varphi \mid B(k) : B(k) \to G(\tilde{k}/k)$ is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{G} = G(\overline{k}/Q)$ ,  $X = G(\overline{k}/k)$  and  $G = G(k/Q) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . Then G acts on X by an inner automorphism. Since at least one prime ideal is totally ramified in k/Q, the group extension  $1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  splits. Hence we see that

 $[\bar{G}, \bar{G}] = X^{\sigma-1}$  and so  $A(k) / A(k)^{\sigma-1} \simeq X/X^{\sigma-1}$   $\simeq G(\tilde{k}/k)$  as *G*-module. Assume that  $\varphi \mid B(k)$ is surjective. Then  $A(k) = B(k)A(k)^{\sigma-1}$ . Since the order of  $\sigma$  is  $\ell$  and the order of A(k) is a power of  $\ell$ , this implies A(k) = B(k). The converse is trivial.

**Corollary 1.2.** Assume that  $\varphi \mid B(k)$  is surjective. Then an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of k whose class belongs to A(k) is principal if and only if  $\left(\frac{\tilde{k}/k}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) = 1$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\bar{k} = \tilde{k}$  because A(k) = B(k).

2. **Results.** For a prime number p congruent to one modulo  $\ell$ , we denote by  $k_p$  the unique subfield of  $Q(\zeta_p)$  of degree  $\ell$ , where  $\zeta_p$  is a primitive p-th root of unity. Let q be another prime number congruent to one modulo  $\ell$ . Then  $k_{p}k_{q}$  is an  $(\ell, \ell)$ -extension of Q and has  $\ell-1$ subfields which are cyclic extensions of Q of degree  $\ell$ , in which both p and q are ramified. Let kbe one of such subfields and  $\mathfrak{p}_p$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_q$ ) the prime ideal of k lying over p (resp. q). Then  $B(k) = \langle cl(\mathfrak{p}_p), cl(\mathfrak{p}_q) \rangle$  and  $|B(k)| = \ell$ . Note that  $k_p k_q$  is the  $\ell$ -part of the genus field of k / Q. Since  $\mathfrak{p}_p$  is ramified in  $k \neq Q$ ,  $\left(\frac{k_p k_q \neq k}{\mathfrak{p}_h}\right)$  is trivial if and only if  $\left(\frac{k_q/Q}{p}\right)$  is trivial. Let  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)_\ell$  denote the  $\ell$ -th power residue symbol. Then the following lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** We have  $|A(k)| = \ell$  if and

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification, Primary 11R23.