

64. On Foliation on Complex Spaces

By Akihiro SAEKI

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo
(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1992)

§0. Introduction. In this paper, we discuss foliations on reduced complex spaces. On complex manifolds, foliations are defined in two ways: as coherent subsheaves of the sheaf Θ of germs of holomorphic vector fields and of the sheaf Ω of germs of holomorphic 1-forms, satisfying the "integrability conditions". Foliations defined by vector fields and by 1-forms correspond with each other (cf. [1],[5],[6]). We define foliations on complex spaces in two ways, using vector fields and 1-forms, as a natural extension of the cases on manifolds (Definition 1.0). As the case on a complex manifolds, these two definitions are essentially equivalent with each other (Theorem 1.5). We investigate effects of morphisms of complex spaces on foliations on them. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper modification of reduced complex spaces. Then foliations on X and on Y are correspondent with each other (Theorem 3.3). Thus foliations are bimeromorphically invariant. Details of proofs etc. are written in [4].

§1. Coherent foliations on complex spaces. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a reduced complex space. We use the following notations:

Ω_X : the sheaf of germs of holomorphic 1-forms on X

Θ_X : the sheaf of germs of holomorphic vector fields on X

$\text{sp}X$: the underlying topological space of the complex space X .

By definition, $\Theta_X = \Omega_X^*$: the dual of Ω_X . If X is a closed complex subspace of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ defined by a coherent \mathcal{O}_D -ideal \mathcal{I} , note that $\Omega_X = (\Omega_D / \mathcal{O}_D d\mathcal{I})|_X$.

For a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{A} , we set

$$\text{Sing} \mathcal{A} := \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{A}_x \text{ is not } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}\text{-free}\}.$$

If the complex space X is reduced, then $\text{Sing} \mathcal{A}$ is a thin analytic set in X .

For a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -submodule \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{A} , we use the notation:

$$S(\mathcal{T}) := \text{Sing} \mathcal{A} \cup \text{Sing}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}).$$

$S(\mathcal{T})$ is an analytic set in X satisfying

$$S(\mathcal{T}) \supset \text{Sing} \mathcal{T}.$$

On $X - S(\mathcal{T})$, \mathcal{T} is locally a direct summand of \mathcal{A} .

Note that

$$\text{Sing} X = \text{Sing} \Omega_X$$

holds, where $\text{Sing} X$ is the singular locus of the complex space X .

Definition 1.0. We define coherent foliations in two ways.

- Definition a) (by 1-forms).

0) A *coherent foliation* on X is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -submodule F of Ω_X satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad dF_x \subset F_x \wedge \Omega_{X,x}$$