

42. On the Uniform Attractivity of Solutions of Ordinary Differential Equations by Two Lyapunov Functions

By László HATVANI^{*)}

Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Hungary

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., May 13, 1991)

1. Introduction. Consider the ordinary differential equation
 (1) $x' = f(t, x)$ ($f(t, 0) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$),
 where $f : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is continuous.

K. Murakami and M. Yamamoto [10] have given sufficient conditions for the global attractivity and equi-attractivity of the zero solution of (1) based on Lyapunov functions with negative semidefinite derivatives. Nowadays such Lyapunov functions have been often used to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of solutions [1–16].

As is well-known, the *uniform* stability properties are of practical importance, e.g. if f satisfies a Lipschitz condition in x uniformly with respect to t , then the uniform attractivity together with uniform stability imply the total stability of the zero solution (see [12], Chapter II, Theorem 4.5).

In this paper we show that, after slightly strengthening one of them, the conditions in Murakami's and Yamamoto's theorem of the global equi-attractivity (Theorem 1 in [10]) imply also the global *uniform* attractivity. In our second theorem we can guarantee the global *equi*-attractivity under essentially weaker conditions than those of Murakami's and Yamamoto's theorem on the global attractivity (Theorem 2 in [10]).

2. Notations and definitions. We use the n -dimensional real space \mathbf{R}^n with the Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$. If $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $F \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, we define the distance between x and F by $d(x, F) := \inf\{|x - y| : y \in F\}$. $B(\rho)$ and $\bar{B}(\rho)$ denote the ball of radius $\rho > 0$ around the origin and its closure, respectively.

Definition 1. A measurable function $\varphi : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is said to be *integrally positive* if $\int_I \varphi(s) ds = \infty$ for every set

$$(2) \quad I = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_k, \beta_k], \quad \beta_k - \alpha_k \geq \delta > 0 \quad (k \in \mathbf{N}).$$

If, in addition to (2), the inequalities $\Delta \geq \beta_k - \alpha_k$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$) are also required of I , then φ is called *weakly integrally positive* [3].

It is easy to see that φ is integrally positive if and only if

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+\gamma} \varphi(s) ds > 0$$

for every $\gamma > 0$. Moreover, if φ is integrally positive, then it is weakly integrally positive, but the converse is not true (e.g. $\varphi(t) := (1+t)^{-1}$). One of the purposes of this paper is to emphasize that the weak integral positivity

^{*)} This research was supported by the Hungarian Foundation for Scientific Research with grant number 6032/6319.