

50. Regular Near-rings without Non-zero Nilpotent Elements

By Iwao YAKABE

Department of Mathematics, College of General Education,
Kyushu University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., June 13, 1989)

1. Introduction. In ring theory, it is well known that regular rings without non-zero nilpotent elements are characterized in terms of quasi-ideals, that is, the following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:

- (a) R is regular and has no non-zero nilpotent elements.
- (b) Every quasi-ideal of R is idempotent.
- (c) For any two quasi-ideals Q_1, Q_2 of R , $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1 Q_2$.

See [4, Theorem 11.5].

The purpose of this note is to characterize regular zero-symmetric near-rings without non-zero nilpotent elements, in terms of quasi-ideals. The analogy between the regular rings and near-rings without non-zero nilpotent elements is not complete.

For the basic terminology and notation we refer to [3].

2. Preliminaries. Let N be a near-ring, which always means right zero-symmetric one throughout this note.

If A, B and C are three non-empty subsets of N , then AB (ABC) denotes the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum a_k b_k$ with $a_k \in A$, $b_k \in B$ ($\sum a_k b_k c_k$ with $a_k \in A$, $b_k \in B$, $c_k \in C$). Note that $ABC = (AB)C \subseteq A(BC)$ in general.

A right N -subgroup (left N -subgroup) of N is a subgroup H of $(N, +)$ such that $HN \subseteq H$ ($NH \subseteq H$). For every subgroup H of $(N, +)$, HN is a right N -subgroup of N .

A quasi-ideal of N is a subgroup Q of $(N, +)$ such that $QN \cap QN \subseteq Q$ (see [5, Proposition 3]). Right N -subgroups and left N -subgroups are quasi-ideals. The intersection of a family of quasi-ideals is again a quasi-ideal.

A near-ring N is called regular, if for every element n of N there exists an element x in N such that $n x n = n$.

Lemma 1. *If a near-ring N is regular, then every quasi-ideal Q of N has the form $QNQ = Q$.*

Proof. Let Q be a quasi-ideal of N , that is, $QN \cap QN \subseteq Q$. By the regularity of N , $Q \subseteq QNQ$. Moreover we have $QNQ \subseteq QN$ and $QNQ \subseteq NQ$. Hence it follows that

$$Q \subseteq QNQ \subseteq QN \cap NQ \subseteq Q.$$

Thus $Q = QNQ$.

Now we state here some known results which will be used later.