

138. *Deformations of Complements of Lines in  $P^2$* 

By Hironobu MAEDA

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1983)

§ 1. **Introduction.** In this paper we shall study deformations of complements of lines in  $P^2$ , based on the theory of logarithmic deformation introduced by Kawamata ([2]). The result is that the standard completion (see below) of complements of lines in  $P^2$  has smooth versal family of logarithmic deformations. This provides examples of surfaces of logarithmic general type with unobstructed deformations even though  $H^2(X, \theta(\log D)) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$  be projective lines on a complex projective plane  $P^2$ , where  $\Delta_i \neq \Delta_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and let  $\Delta = \bigcup_i \Delta_i$ . We call  $P \in \Delta$  a higher multiple point of  $\Delta$ , if the multiplicity of  $\Delta$  at  $P$  is greater than two. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  be all the higher multiple points of  $\Delta$  with respective multiplicities  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s$ . Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  be the numbers of higher multiple points lying over  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ , respectively. Blowing up  $P^2$  with center at  $C = P_1 + \dots + P_s$ , we obtain a complete non-singular surface  $X$  and a birational morphism  $\mu: X \rightarrow P^2$ . Let  $E_j = \mu^{-1}(P_j)$ ,  $\Delta^*$  the proper transform of  $\Delta$  and  $D$  the set-theoretical inverse image of  $\Delta$ , i.e.  $D = \mu^{-1}(\Delta) = \Delta^* + \sum_j E_j$ . Then  $D$  is a divisor on  $X$  with normal crossings. The non-singular triple  $(X \setminus D, X, D)$  is called the standard completion of  $P^2 \setminus \Delta$  (cf. [1, p. 4]) and can be used as a substitute for the complement of lines  $\Delta$  in  $P^2$ .

For the definition of the family of logarithmic deformations of non-singular triple, we refer to [2].

Then we have the following

**Theorem.** (1) *For any choice of  $\Delta$ , the non-singular triple  $\xi = (X \setminus D, X, D)$  has no obstruction to logarithmic deformations.*

(2) *The numbers  $h^t = \dim H^t(X, \theta(\log D))$  are computed and classified according to the type (cf. [1, Table]) of  $\Delta$  as following Table I.*

(3) *If  $\Delta$  corresponds to the configurations of Pappus or Desargues (Fig. 1), then we get examples with  $H^2(X, \theta(\log D)) \neq 0$ .*

(4) *There exists an infinite series of  $\Delta$ 's of type III with  $H^1(X, \theta(\log D)) = 0$ .*

In this paper we outline a proof of (1). For the details we refer to [3].

§ 2. **Unobstructedness of  $(X \setminus D, X, D)$ .** Let  $(\hat{X} \setminus \hat{D}, \hat{X}, \hat{D}, \hat{\pi}, \hat{B})$  be the versal family of logarithmic deformations of  $(X \setminus D, X, D)$  con-