

83. On a Theorem of F. L. Spitzer and C. J. Stone

By Takesi WATANABE

Department of Applied Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

(Comm. by Z. SUEUNA, M.J.A., July 12, 1961)

1. **Introduction.** In their recent work [6], Spitzer and Stone have proved the following interesting theorem which was the basis of their discussion. Consider a sequence $\{c_k; k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ satisfying the conditions:

$$(c.1) \quad c_k \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k = 1,$$

$$(c.2) \quad 0 < \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 c_k = v < +\infty,$$

$$(c.3) \quad c_k = c_{-k},$$

$$(c.4) \quad \text{g.c.d. } \{k; k > 0, c_k > 0\} = 1.$$

Putting $\varphi(\theta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik\theta}$ and noting $2 \geq 1 - \varphi(\theta) \geq 0$, it follows that there exists a unique sequence of polynomials $\{p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{nk} z^k; p_{nn} > 0, n=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_n(e^{i\theta}) \overline{p_m(e^{i\theta})} [1 - \varphi(\theta)] d\theta = \delta_{nm}$$

for $n, m=0, 1, 2, \dots$.

THEOREM (Spitzer and Stone). *The relation*

$$p_{nk} - (2/v)^{\frac{1}{2}} (k/n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n-k \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds uniformly in k and n .

In this note we shall derive a more probabilistic version of the above theorem under a weaker condition $(c.3)' \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k c_k = 0$ instead of (c.3). The main feature of our discussion is in full use of the general theory of Markov chains. By doing so we can prove Theorem 2.1 in [6] under $(c.3)'$ and substitute some simple probabilistic arguments for the rather complicated calculations in [6] (e.g. Lemmas 5-11).

2. **Markov chains.** We now summarize some fundamental facts on Markov chains (with discrete parameter). As to the details, we refer the reader to Chap. I of [7].

Let S be a finite or denumerable space and $T = (T(x, y); x, y \in S)$, a *substochastic matrix*¹⁾ on S . Adding a new point e (called 'extra' point) to S , we extend T to $\tilde{S} = S \cup \{e\}$ as follows: $T(x, e) = 1 - \sum_{y \in S} T(x, y)$, $T(e, e) = 1$ and $T(e, y) = 0$ for $y \in S$. For any x in \tilde{S} , the new transition

1) $\sum_{y \in S} T(x, y) \leq 1$ for every $x \in S$.