

**52. Probability theoretic Investigations on Inheritance.**  
**IX<sub>2</sub>. Non-Paternity Concerning Mother-Children Combinations.**

By Yūsaku KOMATU.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology and  
 Department of Legal Medicine, Tokyo University.

(Comm. by T. FURUHATA, M.J.A., April 12, 1952.)

**4. Non-paternity against both children separately.**

We have discussed hitherto in the present chapter the problem of proving non-paternity, indifferent to a type of first child, against second child at any rate; it has been a matter of indifference whether the proof of non-paternity against first child is possible or not. We now proceed to the problem of proving non-paternity against both children of the same family separately.

For that purpose, we introduce as basic quantities, besides the probability of mother-children combination defined in (3.1) of IV, that of proving non-paternity of a man chosen at random against both children of a fixed triple; namely, given a triple consisting of a mother  $A_{ij}$ , her first child  $A_{hk}$  and her second child  $A_{fg}$ , we ask at how many rate the non-paternity can be established against both first and second children *separately*, i.e., indifferent to types of second and first children respectively. The probability in question be denoted by

$$(4.1) \quad V(ij; hk, fg).$$

Of course, only the cases are significant where there exist common suffices between  $i, j$  and  $h, k$  and between  $i, j$  and  $f, g$ . Thus, *the probability of proving non-paternity against both children separately*, the combination-probability being also taken into account, is then given by

$$(4.2) \quad Q(ij; hk, fg) = \pi(ij; hk, fg) V(ij; hk, fg).$$

The quantities (4.1) are evidently symmetric with respect to types of both children; namely, we have

$$(4.3) \quad V(ij; hk, fg) = V(ij; fg, hk).$$

On the other hand, since the probabilities of mother-children combination possess an analogous symmetry character, as noticed in (3.4) of IV, we see that the quantities in (4.2) also satisfy a symmetry relation of the same nature, i.e.,

$$(4.4) \quad Q(ij; hk, fg) = Q(ij; fg, hk).$$

Now, if the proof of non-paternity is possible against both