

### 32. Probabilities on Inheritance in Consanguineous Families. IV

By Yûsaku KOMATU and Han NISHIMIYA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

(Comm. by T. FURUHATA, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1954)

#### IV. Ancestors-descendant combinations through an intermediate marriage

##### 1. Ancestor-parent-child combination immediate after a marriage

Suppose that two individuals  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $A_{\gamma\delta}$  are accompanied by their  $\mu$ th and  $\nu$ th descendants  $A_{ab}$  and  $A_{ca}$ , respectively, and that these descendants are married and originate themselves an  $n$ th descendant  $A_{\xi\eta}$ . Let the probability of a triple consisting of  $(A_{\alpha\beta}, A_{\gamma\delta}; A_{\xi\eta})$  be then designated by

$$\bar{A}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{A}_{\gamma\delta}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu;n}(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta).$$

The probability of parents-descendant combination,  $\varepsilon_n$ , treated in I, § 2, may be regarded to correspond to the *lowest case*  $\mu=\nu=0$ ; in particular,  $\varepsilon_1 \equiv \varepsilon_{00;1}$  represents nothing but  $\varepsilon$ . Here we distinguish *four systems* in case of higher generation-numbers  $\mu, \nu$  according to  $\mu>0=\nu, n=1$  or  $\mu=0<\nu, n=1$ ;  $\mu>0=\nu, n>1$  or  $\mu=0<\nu, n>1$ ;  $\mu>0, \nu>0, n=1$ ; and  $\mu>0, \nu>0, n>1$ .

The *first system* will now be treated. By virtue of an evident quasi-symmetry property with respect to  $\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta$  and  $\mu, \nu$ , it suffices to consider the former. Its defining equation

$$\varepsilon_{\mu 0;1}(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta) = \sum \kappa_{\mu}(\alpha\beta; ab)\varepsilon(ab, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta)$$

can be brought into the form

$$\varepsilon_{\mu 0;1}(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta) = \kappa(\gamma\delta; \xi\eta) + 2^{-\mu}C_0(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta),$$

where  $C_0$  is defined by

$$C_0(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta) = 2\sum Q(\alpha\beta; ab)\varepsilon(ab, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta).$$

The values of  $C_0$  are set out as follows:

$C_0(ii, ii; ii) = 1 - i,$	$C_0(ii, ii; ig) = -g;$
$C_0(ii, ik; ii) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i),$	$C_0(ii, ik; ik) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i - k),$
$C_0(ii, ik; kk) = -\frac{1}{2}k,$	$C_0(ii, ik; ig) = -\frac{1}{2}g,$
$C_0(ii, ik; kg) = -\frac{1}{2}g;$	
$C_0(ii, kk; ik) = 1 - i,$	$C_0(ii, kk; kk) = -k,$
$C_0(ii, kk; kg) = -g;$	
$C_0(ii, hk; ik) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i),$	$C_0(ii, hk; hk) = -\frac{1}{2}(h + k);$
$C_0(ij, ii; ii) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2i),$	$C_0(ij, ii; ij) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2j),$

---

\*) I-III, Proc. Japan Acad. **30** (1954), 42-52.