

### 51. Probabilities on Inheritance in Consanguineous Families. VII

By Yūsaku KOMATU and Han NISHIMIYA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

(Comm. by T. FURUHATA, M.J.A., March 12, 1954)

#### VII. Mother-descendants combinations through several consanguineous marriages

##### 1. Special combinations with several consanguineous marriages

The main purpose of the present chapter is to determine the probability of a mother-descendants combination designated by

$$\pi_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha\beta} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \quad (\mu = \mu_{t+1}, \nu = \nu_{t+1}).$$

By definition, the reduced probability  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}$  is given by

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa_{\mu\nu}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2).$$

Evidently, this probability is symmetric with respect to  $\mu_r$  and  $\nu_r$  for any  $r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq t$ , while it is quasi-symmetric with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , i. e.

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \nu\mu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_2\eta_2, \xi_1\eta_1).$$

In the present section we first deal with the case where the  $n_r$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are all equal to unity. After substituting the known expressions, its defining equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t | 11}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-t+1} A_t U(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &+ 4u_t \sum R(ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2v_t \sum S(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &+ 4w_t \sum T(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains only to determine the last three residual terms, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sum R(ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sum S(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \mathfrak{Z}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sum T(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \end{aligned}$$

which are evidently symmetric with respect to  $\xi_1\eta_1$  and  $\xi_2\eta_2$ . Actual computation leads to the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}(ii, ii) &= \frac{1}{8}i^2(1-i)(1+i), & \mathfrak{X}(ii, ik) &= -\frac{1}{4}i^3k, \\ \mathfrak{X}(ii, kk) &= -\frac{1}{8}i^2k^2, & \mathfrak{X}(ii, hk) &= -\frac{1}{4}i^2hk, \\ \mathfrak{X}(ij, ij) &= \frac{1}{4}ij(1-2ij), & \mathfrak{X}(ij, ik) &= -\frac{1}{2}i^2jk, \\ \mathfrak{X}(ij, hk) &= -\frac{1}{2}ijhk; \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, ii) &= -\frac{1}{16}i(1-i)^2(1-2i), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, ig) &= \frac{1}{8}ig(1-i)(1-2i), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}ig^2(1-2i), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}ifg(1-2i), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, ik) &= -\frac{1}{16}k(1-4i-k+3i^2-8i^2k), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, kk) &= \frac{1}{16}k^2(1+i-5k+4ik), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, ig) &= \frac{1}{16}kg(1-7i+8i^2), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, kg) &= \frac{1}{16}kg(1-3i-2k+8ik), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}kg^2(1-4i), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}kfg(1-4i), \end{aligned}$$