

50. Probabilities on Inheritance in Consanguineous Families. VI

By Yûsaku KOMATU and Han NISHIMIYA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

(Comm. by T. FURUHATA, M.J.A., March 12, 1954)

VI. Mother-descendant combinations through several consanguineous marriages

1. Special combination with several consanguineous marriages

We have discussed in the preceding chapter¹⁾ the mother-descendant combinations through a single consanguineous marriage. We now attempt to generalize the problem to the case where several consanguineous marriages intervene between a mother and her descendant. Our present purpose is to determine the probability of a combination which consists of the original individual $A_{\alpha\beta}$ and of its descendant $A_{\xi\eta}$, consanguineous marriages interjacent between them occurring t times, and which is designated, with an easily comprehensible routine notation, by

$$\pi_{\mu_1\nu_1; n_1 | \dots | \mu_t\nu_t; n_t}(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha\beta} \kappa_{\mu_1\nu_1; n_1 | \dots | \mu_t\nu_t; n_t}(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta)$$

or briefly, provided no confusion can arise, by

$$\pi_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha\beta} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta).$$

By definition, the reduced probability $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}$ is given by

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) = \sum \prod_{r=1}^t \kappa_{\mu_r\nu_r; n_r}(a_{r-1}b_{r-1}; a_r b_r)$$

with $a_0 b_0 \equiv \alpha\beta$ and $a_t b_t \equiv \xi\eta$, where the summation extends over all the possible sets of $t-1$ genotypes $A_{a_r b_r}$ ($r=1, \dots, t-1$).

We can really permit here also the degenerate cases where some of the n_r 's with $1 \leq r < t$ vanish. But, these exceptional cases will be postponed to a later chapter. In the present section we shall deal with a special case where the n 's are all equal to unity. Its defining equation then becomes

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t}(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_{t-1}}(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa_{\mu_t\nu_t; 1}(ab; \xi\eta),$$

whence follows, as verified by induction, the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t}(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) &= \bar{A}_{\xi\eta} + 2^{-t+1} A_t Q(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) + 4u_t R(\xi\eta) \\ &\quad + 2v_t S(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) + 2w_t T(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta); \\ A_t &= \prod_{r=1}^t L_r \equiv \prod_{r=1}^t (2^{-\mu_r} + 2^{-\nu_r}), & u_t &= \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} \prod_{s=r+1}^t 2^{-\lambda_s - 2}, \\ v_t &= \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} 2^{-r+1} A_r \prod_{s=r+1}^t 2^{-\lambda_s - 2}, & w_t &= \prod_{s=1}^t 2^{-\lambda_s - 2}; \end{aligned}$$

1) Cf. Proc. Japan Acad. **30** (1954), 152-155. There the value of $S(ij; ik)$ (p. 154, l. 6) should be read $-\frac{1}{2}k(1-4i)$ instead of $-\frac{1}{2}k(1-4k)$.