

## 111. Uniform Convergence of Fourier Series

By Masako SATÔ

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Comm. by Z. SUTUNA, M.J.A., July 12, 1954)

J. P. Nash<sup>1)</sup> has proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f(x)$  is of class  $\phi(n)$  with bounded  $\phi'(n)$  and is continuous with modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$ , then there exist positive constants  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  independent of  $f(x)$  such that*

$$|s_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ A \log \theta(n) + B \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \right] + \frac{C}{\theta(n)},$$

where  $\theta(n)$  is monotone increasing and

$$1 \leq \theta(n) \leq \phi(n); \quad 1 \leq \frac{\theta(n+1)}{\theta(n)} \leq \frac{\phi(n+1)}{\phi(n)}.$$

In this theorem, a function  $f(x)$  is said to be of class  $\phi(n)$  if

$$\phi(n) \int_a^b f(x+t) \cos nt \, dt = O(1)$$

uniformly for all  $x, n, a, b$  with  $b-a \leq 2\pi$ .

We shall prove the following generalization which contains the Dini-Lipschitz test as a particular case.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f(x)$  is of class  $\phi(n)$ ,  $\phi(n)$  being  $O(n)$ ,<sup>2)</sup> and is continuous with modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$ , then there exist positive constants  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  independent of  $f(x)$  such that*

$$(1) \quad |s_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[ A \log \theta(n) + B \log \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \right] + \frac{C}{\theta(n)},$$

where  $\theta(n)$  is monotone increasing and  $1 \leq \theta(n) \leq \phi(n)$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove (1) for

$$s_n^*(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)] \frac{\sin nt}{2 \tan t/2} dt.$$

We divide the integral into three parts such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^*(x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \int_0^{\alpha/\phi(n)} + \int_{\alpha/\phi(n)}^{\beta\theta(n)/\phi(n)} + \int_{\beta\theta(n)/\phi(n)}^\pi \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} [I + J + K] \end{aligned}$$

1) J. P. Nash: Uniform convergence of Fourier series, The Rice Institute Pamphlet (1953).

In this paper we use the notation in Zygmund, Trigonometrical series, 1936.

2) As J. P. Nash shows, the assumption  $\phi(n) = O(n)$  does not lose generality.